Groups of piecewise isometric permutations of lattice points

by

Robert Bieri and Heike Sach

arXiv:1606.07728v1 [math.GR] 24 Jun 2016

Summary. Let M denote either Euclidean or hyperbolic N-space, and let Γ be a discrete group of isometries of M, with the property that Γ respects and acts tile-transitively on a convex polyhedral tesselation of M. Given an arbitrary base point $p \in M$, we consider the orbit $\Omega := \Gamma p \subseteq M$ and define a notion of "Γ-polyhedral pieces" $S \subseteq \Omega$. The objects of our interest are the groups $G_\Gamma(S)$ of *all piecewise* Γ-*isometric permutations* on S.

In this paper we merely present the two most basic examples, and these play rather different roles: The case when $\Gamma = \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ acting on the hyperbolic plane reveals that the groups $G_\Gamma(\Omega)$ here have prominent relatives: they are closely related to Richard Thompson's group V. And in the case $\Gamma = \mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$ we find that the groups $G_\Gamma(S)$ have diverse but to some extent computable finiteness properties.

The conjunction of the two examples suggests that to investigate the piecewise Γ-isometric permutation groups more systematically might be a worthwhile project and might yield interesting new groups with an accessible finiteness pattern.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 20F65; Secondary 20J05, 22E40. Key words and phrases: Houghton groups, homological finiteness properties of groups, piecewise isometric infinite permutations.

I Introduction

1 Generalities and main result

1.1 The groups. Let M denote either Euclidean or hyperbolic N-space, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{Isom}(M)$ a discrete group of isometries of M with the property that Γ admits a finite sided convex fundamental polyhedron D with finite volume[1]. We aim to study certain groups of permutations of the orbit $\Omega := \Gamma p$, for a given point $p \in M$.

To define the notion of a piecewise Γ-isometric permutation $\pi : \Omega \to \Omega$ requires a notion of "Γ-polyhedral pieces" of Ω on which π should be isometric, and it is reasonable to require that the geometry of these pieces be related to the geometry of Γ. Thus, together with the base point $p \in M$ we choose a finite set $\mathcal{H}$ of "Γ-*relevant*" *closed half-spaces* of M, and the resulting groups will - to some extent - depend on this choice. One possibility would be to write D as the intersection $D = \bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{H}} H$ with $\mathcal{H}$ an irredundant finite set of half spaces, whose boundaries are spanned by the sides of D; but other choices might be more convenient.
By a *convex* Γ-*polyhedral* subset P of M we mean any finite intersection of Γ-translates $H\gamma$, where $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $H \in \mathcal{H}$. And a general Γ-*polyhedral* subset of M is a finite union of convex ones. By abuse of language, we call the intersection $S = \Omega \cap P$ a *(convex)* Γ-*polyhedral piece of* Ω whenever $P \subseteq M$ is a (convex) Γ-polyhedral subset. However, we will also meet situations where it is reasonable to use "Γ-convex pieces" to define piecewise Γ^*-isometric permutations on Ω when $\Gamma^* \leq \mathrm{Isom}(M)$ contains Γ as a subgroup and $\Gamma^* p = \Gamma p$.

Definition. Let $S \subseteq \Omega$ be a Γ-polyhedral piece. A permutation $g : S \to S$ is said to be *piecewise* Γ^*-*isometric* if S can be written as a union of finitely many convex Γ-polyhedral pieces $\Omega = S_1 \cup S_2 \cup ... \cup S_k$ with the property that the restriction of g to each S_i is also the restriction of an isometry $\varphi \in \Gamma^*$.

We write $G_{\Gamma^*}(S)$ for the group of all piecewise isometric Γ^*-permutations of S. The permutations in $G_{\Gamma^*}(S)$ with finite support form a normal subgroup of $G_{\Gamma^*}(S)$ which we denote by $\Sigma_\infty(S)$; the quotient group $G_{\Gamma^*}(S)/\Sigma_\infty(S)$ is often particularly interesting.

In this paper we consider the group $G_{\Gamma^*}(S)$ in two special cases:

a) When M is the hyperbolic plane and $\Gamma = \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ we consider the group phi$(\Omega) := G_\Gamma(\Omega)$ on the orbit $\Omega = \Gamma e^{i\pi/3}$. We show that phi$(\Omega)$ is the group of all

[1] In the hyperbolic case this implies that D is actually a generalized polytope - see Thm. 6.4.8 in [Ra94].

quasi isomorphisms of the planar dyadic tree, and the quotient $\mathrm{phi}(\Omega)/\Sigma_\infty(S)$ is Richard Thompson's group V. Thus, the quotient $G_\Gamma(S)/\Sigma_\infty(S)$ for S an arbitrary hyperbolic or Euclidean polyhedral piece can be viewed as a far reaching generalization of Thompson's group V.

b) Our main concern then is the case when M is Euclidean N-space, Γ is the translation group $T = \mathrm{Tra}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$, and Γ^* is either the full isometry group $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$ or equal to T. In both cases we use T-polyhedral pieces $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$ and term them the *orthohedral* subsets of $\mathbb{Z}^N$. We consider the *piecewise Euclidean isometry* groups $\mathrm{pei}(S) = G_{\Gamma^*}(S)$ and the *piecewise Euclidean translation* groups $\mathrm{pet}(S) = G_\Gamma(S)$ of arbitrary orthohedral subsets $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$. If S is the set of all points on the positive coordinate axes then the pet-group $G_\Gamma(S)$ is the Houghton group H_N [Ho78]. Hence the quotients $\mathrm{pei}(S)/\Sigma_\infty(S)$ can be viewed as Euclidean relatives of Thompson's group V.
We are interested in structural and finiteness properties of these generalized Houghton groups.

1.2 The finiteness length of a group. By definition, every group is *of type* F_0; every finitely generated group is *of type* F_1; every finitely presented group (equivalently: every fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$ of a finite cell complex X) is *of type* F_2 ; and $\pi_1(X)$ is *of type* F_m ($m \geq 2$) if X is a finite cell complex and $\pi_1(X) = 0$, for all i with $2 \leq i < m$.

Ten years after C.T.C. Wall introduced these finiteness properties, Borel and Serre [BS73]/[BS76] showed that all semi-simple S-arithmetic groups have special homological features; in particular they are of type F_∞ (i.e., type F_m for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$). And this was only the first of a number of important infinite families of groups that turned out to be of type F_∞ in the following decades; many of them, just like arithmetic groups, in the center of mainstream group theory: automorphism groups of free groups [CV86], Richard Thompson' s groups [BG84], etc. More recent results in this direction are based on Ken Brown's topological discrete Morse theory technique [Br87] and its powerful CAT(0)-version of Bestvina-Brady [BB97].

The insight that many important groups have much further reaching finiteness properties than finite presentability is great progress - but having "good" finiteness properties is only one side of the concept: The focus on the *finiteness length* function $fl : \mathbf{Gr} \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$, defined on all groups G by

$$fl(G) := \{\sup m \mid G \text{ is of type } F_m\}$$

takes both sides into account. Analogous *algebraic length functions* afl_A are defined for every G-module A, to be the supremum of all non-negative integers m with the property that A admits a free resolution which is finitely generated in all dimensions $\leq m$. The functions afl_A have the considerable advantage, that they extend immediately to monoids G. We write afl for $afl_{\mathbb{Z}}$, where $\mathbb{Z}$ stands for the infinite cyclic up with the trivial G-action; by the Hurewicz Theorem we know that afl coincides with fl on all

finitely presented groups (i.e., whenever $fl(G) \geq 2$). An important feature of both fl and afl_A is that they are constant on commensurability classes of groups.

The finiteness length of a stray group is notoriously difficult to compute. Nevertheless, to study and interpret accessible parts of the pattern that these functions carve into group theory can be very fruitful. A convincing example is the following: If we fix a finitely generated group G, then the function $Hom(G, \mathbb{R}_{add}) \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$, which associates with each homomorphism $\chi : G \to \mathbb{R}_{add}$ the value of afl_A on the submonoid $\chi^{-1}([0, \infty)) \subseteq G$, imposes in the finite dimensional $\mathbb{R}$-vector space $Hom(G, \mathbb{R}_{add})$ the pattern exhibited by the homological Σ-invariants $\Sigma^k(G; A)$ of [BR88]. On the other hand, we can also evaluate fl and afl_A on the commensurability classes of subgroups containing G', and this yields patterns on the rational Grassman space of $\mathbb{Q}$-linear subspaces of $G/G' \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ (which parametrizes these classes). The core of the main Σ-results of [BNS86], [BR88], [R89], [BGe03] consists then of exhibiting the precise relationship between the two patterns.
An intriguing point is that in all computable examples, the finiteness length patterns have a polyhedral flavor: they turn out to be expressible in terms of finitely many inequalities. One of the few general results here, polyhedrality of $\Sigma^0(G; A)$ when G is Abelian, was proved in [BGr84] by methods which were later partly re-detected in tropical geometry. But polyhedrality questions on $\Sigma^k(G; A)$ for non-Abelian G and $k > 0$ are wide open.

1.3 The results. In this paper we make first steps to evaluate the finiteness length function fl and afl on what we like to view as the *pei- and pet-clouds around* $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$, resp. $\mathbb{Z}^N$: the groups $\mathrm{pei}(S)$, resp. $\mathrm{pet}(S)$, as S runs through all orthohedral subsets of $\mathbb{Z}^N$. Our main tool is Ken Brown's approach in [Br87]. In that paper each fl-result comes together with a parallel afl-result, and hence our results have the same feature.

To state our main results requires the following notation: By an *orthant of rank* n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) we mean any subset $L \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$ isometric to the standard rank-n orthant $\mathbb{N}^n$. Each orthohedral set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$ is the disjoint union of finitely many orthants $S = L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \ldots \cup L_k$. By the *rank* of S, denoted $\mathrm{rk}S$, we mean the maximum rank of the orthants L_i; and the *height* of S, denoted $h(S)$, is the number of orthants of rank $\mathrm{rk}S$ among the L_i.

Theorem A. *Rank and height of an orthohedral set S determines the group* $\mathrm{pei}(S)$ *up to isomorphism, and we have* $fl\big(\mathrm{pei}(S)\big) \geq h(S) - 1$.

Fore a more precise result see Theorem 3.1. As $h(\mathbb{Z}^n) = 2^n$ we have, in particular,

Corollary. $fl\big(\mathrm{pei}(\mathbb{Z}^n)\big) \geq 2^n - 1$.

The isomorphism class of the pet-groups are not determined by rank and height of the orthohedral set S. Here we find a precise result for the special case when S is a stack of h (parallel) orthants of the same rank:

Theorem B. *If S is a stack of h rank-n orthants then $fl\big(\mathrm{pet}(S)\big) = h(S) - 1$.*

For a generalization to a stack of k-skeletons of an orthant see Proposition 4.2 in Section 4.1.

1.4 Outlook. Let Γ be a discrete group of (Euclidean or hyperbolic) isometries with polyhedral fundamental domain of finite volume. By generalizing the definition of the group $\mathrm{pei}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$ to the groups $\mathrm{pi}_\Gamma(\Omega)$ of all piecewise Γ-isometric permutations of the orbit $\Omega = \Gamma p$, we have endowed each such group Γ with the pi_Γ-*cloud* of all piecewise Γ-isometric permutation groups $\mathrm{pi}_\Gamma(S)$ where S runs through the Γ-polyhedral subsets of Ω. Our success with evaluating the finiteness length function on the clouds around $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$ and $\mathbb{Z}^N$, together with the observation that the groups around $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ are closely related to the highly respected Thompson groups, indicates that aiming to investigate the finiteness pattern on more of these pi_Γ-*clouds* might be a difficult but worthwhile program.

1.5 Remark on the history of this paper. C. Houghton introduced his groups in [Ho78]. Theorem B, in the Hougton group case, i.e., when S is a stack of rays, is due to K.S. Brown [Br87]. The inequality $fl\big(\mathrm{pet}(S)\big) \geq h(S) - 1$ in the special case when S is a stack of quadrants (as well as the equality for a certain "diagonal subgroup" of $\mathrm{pet}(S)$) is due to the second author and appears in her Diploma thesis (Frankfurt 1992 [Sa92]), to which the first author contributed little more then the definition of the groups. It was recent increasing interest for the Houghton groups ([ABM14], [BCMR14], [St15], [Leh08], [Za15] etc.) that suggested Heike Sach's Diploma thesis [Sa92] should be published, translated, and generalized.

The surprising observation that our concept leads to rather interesting connections in the hyperbolic case is more recent.

2 A hyperbolic example

2.1 $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$-polyhedral subsets of the hyperbolic plane. Let M denote the upper half-plane model of the hyperbolic plane $\mathbf{H}^2$ on which the group $\Gamma = \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ acts by Moebius transformations. Its standard fundamental polyhedron is the intersection $D = H \cap H' \cap H'' \subseteq \mathbf{H}^2$ of the three half spaces

$$H = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| \geq 1\}, H' = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \mathrm{Re}(z) \leq \frac{1}{2}\}, H'' = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid -\frac{1}{2} \leq \mathrm{Re}(z)\}.$$

D is a generalized triangle with one finite edge which we denote by e. The union $T := \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma e$ is the *Serre tree*, a combinatorial planar dyadic tree on which Γ acts by planar tree-isomorphisms. In fact, restriction to T induces an isomorphism between Γ and the group of all *planar automorphisms* of T i.e., the tree automorphism which respect the cyclic orientation of the link of each vertex.

The situation here is particularly simple: Γ acts transitively on the oriented edges of T, hence the half-planes H, H', H'' are Γ-translates of each other. Moreover, the union of all Γ-translates of ∂H is the union of all Γ-translates of ∂D. Hence all 2-dimensional convex Γ-polyhedral subsets $P \subseteq \mathbf{H}^2$ are unions of Γ-translates of tiles $D\gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma$. Since each Γ-translate of ∂H intersects T in an edge, we observe that the intersection $T \cap \partial P$ of the Serre tree T with the boundary of an arbitrary convex Γ-polyhedral set $P \subseteq \mathbf{H}^2$ is a finite set of tree-geodesic segments $[v, v']$ of T, and $T \cap P$ is a sub-forest of T in which all but finitely many vertices are of degree 3.

In terms of the horo-ball $B = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \mathrm{Im}(z) \geq 1\}$ and its Γ-translates we can be more precise: Each connected component $[v, v']$ of $T \cap \partial P$ consists of edges tangent to one of these horo-balls, and at its endpoints $v, v' \in \partial P$ turns into a ray that plunges vertically into either the same or a the neighboring horo-ball and runs to infinity. Each connected component of $T \cap Int(P)$ is a homogeneous rooted tree hanging at an endpoint of a connected component of $T \cap \partial P$.

2.2 Piecewise Γ-isometric versus piece planar tree-isometric permutations. Let $\Omega = \Gamma p$ be the set of all vertices of the Serre tree T. On the basis of the above description it easy to pin down the Γ-polyhedral pieces of Ω. We need the following terminology concerning a subgraph $X \subseteq T$. A vertex v of X is *inner* if its degree $\deg_X(v) = 3$ and v is a *leaf* of X if $\deg_X(v) = 1$. X is a *rooted subtree* of T if all except one of its vertices are inner; the one exceptional vertex p is the *root* of X. We write $T_0(p)$ for a rooted tree with root p of degree 2 and $T_1(p)$ if the root p is a leaf. Thus we have

Proposition 2.1 *Each convex Γ-polyhedral piece $S \in \Omega$ has a cofinite subset, which is the disjoint union of the vertex sets of finitely many rooted subtrees of the form $T_1(p)$.* □

It follows that every piecewise Γ-isometric permutation of $\Omega = \mathrm{ver}(T)$ can be interpreted as a *piecewise planar tree isometric* (ppti) permutation of the vertices of the tree T – i.e, a permutation of $\mathrm{ver}(T)$ that respect all but finitely many edges and the link-orientations at all but finitely many vertices of T. Other authors use the term quasi-autmorphisms ([Leh08], [LS07], [BMN13], and [NSt15]). Conversely, the convex closure of $T_1(p)$ is a convex Γ-polyhedral subset P with $\mathrm{ver}(T_1(p)) = \Omega \cap P$, and the tree-isometric embedding $T_1(p) \to T$ extends to an isometric embedding of the convex closure of $T_1(p)$ into $\mathbf{H}^2$. Hence we can summarize:

Theorem 2.2 *If $\Gamma = \mathrm{PSL}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\Omega = \Gamma e^{i\pi/3}$ is the set of vertices of the Serre tree T then* phi(Ω) *coincides with* ppti(Ω). □

2.3 Classification of the Γ-polyhedral subsets $S \subseteq \Omega$. To classify the Γ-polyhedral subsets $S \subseteq \Omega$ (i.e., the vertex set of finite unions of finite and rooted

subtrees of T) up to ppti-isomorphism is not too difficult. First of all, adjoining or removing finitely many edges and displacing connected components does not change the ppti-isomorphism type – hence T itself is ppti-isomorphic to the disjoint union $T_0(p) \cup T_0(p')$.
The observation that the disjoint union $T_0(p) \cup T_0(p') \cup \{p''\}$ is ppti-isomorphic to $T_0(p'')$ leads to the following classification: Every Γ-polyhedral subsets $S \in \Omega$ is ppti-isomorphic to one of the following types: We define isomorphism types T_k for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ as follows: T_0 and T_1 stand for the types represented by single rooted sub-trees as above, and for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we write T_k for the type represented by the disjoint union of T_0 with k isolated vertices, while T_{-k} stands for the forest obtained from T_0 by removing k vertices. Note that the disjoint union of k copies of T_0 is T_{-k}, in particular T is phi-isomorphic to T_{-1}.

It should not be too difficult to show that the isomorphism types T_k are pairwise different. The isomorphism types of their quasi-automorphism groups $QT_k = \mathrm{ppti}(\mathrm{ver}(T_k))$ and their finiteness length seems to be more of a problem! Brita Nucinkis and Simon St. John-Green [NSt15] have recently shown that $fl(QT_1) = \infty$, and (based on [Leh08] and [BMN13]) they uncovered structural properties which show, in particular, that the two groups QT_0 and QT_1 cannot be isomorphic. It would be interesting to know more about the finiteness length and the isomorphism types of the groups QT_k for all integers k.

2.4 Relationship with Thompson's group V. We do not claim originality for the context of this subsection – the quasi-automorphism group of dyadic trees and the $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$-aspects of Thompson's groups have been around for some time (e.g. [LS07], [Leh08], [FKS11]).
We start by choosing a finite subtree $X \subseteq T$ with the property that the given ppti-permutation α of $\mathrm{ver}(X)$ respects all edges and all link orientations outside X. By adjoining edges we may and will assume that X has no vertex of degree 2. We write ∂X for the set of leaves of X and $\mathring{X}$ for the subtree generated by the the inner vertices of X. The complement of $\mathring{X}$ in $\mathrm{ver}(T)$ contains for each leaf $a \in \partial X$ a leafless rooted tree B_a with $B_a \cap X = \{a\}$; and we have $T = X \cup (\bigcup_{a\in\partial X} B_a)$.

The image of $\bigcup_{a\in\partial X} B_a$ under α is the disjoint union of the leafless rooted subtrees $\alpha(B_a)$, $a \in \partial X$. Let X' denote the convex hull of $\alpha(\partial X)$. X' is a finite subtree of T which contains from each of the trees B_a the root a, and only the root a. And these roots a are the leaves of X'. A vertex b of degree 2 of X' would be the base point of a geodesic ray R of T emanating from b into the complement of $\alpha(\bigcup_{a\in\partial X} B_a)$. On the one hand R could enter any of the subtrees $\alpha(B_a)$ only through its root which is impossible; on the other hand R cannot stay in the complement of $\alpha(\bigcup_{a\in\partial X} B_a)$ since this is finite. Hence X' contains no vertices of rank 2, and this shows $X' \cup (\alpha(\bigcup_{a\in\partial X} B_a)) = T$. Thus $\mathrm{ver}(X') = \alpha(\mathrm{ver}(X))$, X' has the same features as X, and $\alpha|_{\mathrm{ver}(X)}$ defines bijections of both $\partial X \to \partial X'$ and $\mathrm{ver}(\mathring{X}) \to \mathrm{ver}(\mathring{X}')$.

We summarize:

Proposition 2.3 *The* ppti*-permutations of* $\mathrm{ver}(T)$ *are classified by the pairs* $[X, X']$ *of finite subtrees of* T *which have no vertex of degree* 2*, together with bijections*

$$\alpha : \partial X \to \partial X' \quad \text{and} \quad \mathring{\alpha} : \mathrm{ver}(\mathring{X}) \to \mathrm{ver}(\mathring{X}'). \qquad \square$$

This is closely related to the often used combinatorial description of Richard Thompson's group V (see [Br87]), which is commonly defined in terms of the rooted tree $T_0(p)$; it is the group of homeomorphisms induced by the almost-planar-automorphisms α of $T_0(p)$ with $\alpha(p) = p$ on the Cantor set at the boundary at infinity of $T_0(p)$. The observation that T is ppti-isomorphic to the disjoint union of two copies of $T_0(p)$ and hence to $T_0(p) - \{p\}$ shows that V is isomorphic to the group induced by $\mathrm{ppti}(\mathrm{ver}(T))$ on the boundary at infinity of T. The kernel of the corresponding homomorphism $\mathrm{ppti}(\Omega) \twoheadrightarrow V$ is the normal subgroup $\Sigma_\infty(\Omega)$ consisting of all permutations of Ω with finite support. Combined with Theorem 2.2 this proves

Theorem 2.4 $\mathrm{phi}(\Omega)/\Sigma_\infty(\Omega)$ *is isomorphic to Thompson's group* V*.*

II The orthogonal-Euclidean case

1 Orthohedral sets

1.1 Integral orthants in $\mathbb{Z}^N$. In the standard N-dimensional Euclidean integral lattice $\mathbb{Z}^N$, endowed with the canonical basis X, we consider affine-orthogonal transformations $\tau_{a,A} : \mathbb{Z}^N \to \mathbb{Z}^N$, $\tau_{a,A}(x) = a + Ax$, where $A \in O(N, \mathbb{Z})$ is an integral orthogonal matrix and $a \in \mathbb{Z}^N$. Inside $\mathbb{Z}^N$ we have the *standard orthant of rank* N, $\mathbb{N}^N \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$, and all images of its k-dimensional faces, $0 \leq k \leq N$, under affine-orthogonal transformations. More precisely: the subsets $L = \tau_{a,A}\langle Y \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$, where $\langle Y \rangle$ stands for the monoid generated by the k-element subset $Y \subseteq X$. We call L an *integral orthant* (of rank k, and based at $a \in L$) of $\mathbb{Z}^N$ or just a *rank-k* orthant.

We write Ω^k for the set of all rank-k orthants of Z^N and Ω^* for the union $\bigcup_k \Omega^k$. Ω^* is partially ordered by inclusion, with $\Omega^0 = Z^N$. The subset of all orthants based at the origin 0 will be denoted by $\Omega^*_0 \subseteq \Omega^*$; it retracts the order preserving projection $\tau : \Omega^* \to \Omega^*_0$ which associates to each orthant $L \in \Omega^*$ based at $a \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ its unique parallel translate $\tau(L) = -a + L \in \Omega^*_0$. $\tau(L)$ is characterized by its canonical basis $Y = \{y \in \pm X \mid a + \mathbb{N}y \subseteq L\}$ which indicates the directions of L; hence we call $\tau(L)$ the indicator of L. Note that Y is given by the function $f\colon X \to \{0, 1, -1\}$ with $f(x) = \varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$ if $\varepsilon x \in Y$, and $f(x) = 0$ if $\{x, -x\} \cap Y = \emptyset$; hence $|\Omega^*_0| = 3^N$.

We call a subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$ *orthohedral* if it is the union of a finite set of orthants - without loosing generality we can assume that the union is disjoint. The *rank* of S, denoted $\mathrm{rk}S$, is the maximum rank of an orthant contained in S. If S is isometric to $\mathbb{N}^k \times \{1, 2, \ldots, h\}$, we call it a *stack of orthants of height h*. The terminology agrees with the *height* $h(S)$ of an arbitrary orthohedral set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$, defined as the number of orthants of maximal rank, $\mathrm{rk}S$, which participate in a pairwise disjoint finite decomposition of $S = L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \ldots \cup L_m$ – see §1.3 in the Introduction.

We will often use the elementary

Lemma 1.1 *Orthohedrality of subsets $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$ is closed under the set theoretic operations of taking intersections, unions, and complements.* □

We write $\Omega^k(S) = \{L \in \Omega^k | L \subseteq S\}$ for the set of all rank-k orthants of S, $\Omega^*(S)$ for the disjoint union over k, and $\Omega^*_0(S)$ for the set of all orthants of S based at the origin 0. We consider the restriction of the indicator map $\tau : \Omega^*(S) \to \Omega^*_0$. We write $S_\tau \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$ for the union of all orthants in $\tau(\Omega^*(S))$ and call this the indicator image of S. Note that $\tau(\Omega^*(S)) = \Omega^*_0(S_\tau)$, and we can view the indicator map as a rank preserving surjection $\tau : \Omega^*(S) \twoheadrightarrow \Omega^*_0(S_\tau)$.

1.2 Germs of orthants. Two orthants L, L' in Ω^* are said to be *commensurable* if $\mathrm{rk}L = \mathrm{rk}(L \cap L') = \mathrm{rk}L'$. We write $\gamma(L)$ for the commensurability class of L and call it the *germ* of L. The union of all members of $\gamma(L)$ is the coset of $\mathbb{Z}^N$ and denoted by $\langle L\rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$. The germs inherit from their representing orthants L the *rank*, relations like *parallelism and orthogonality*, and also a *partial ordering* defined as follows: given two germs γ, γ' we put $\gamma \leq \gamma'$ if they can be represented by orthants $L, L' \in \Omega^*$ with $L \subseteq L'$. Note that if L and L' are arbitrary orthants representing γ and γ', respectively, then $\gamma \leq \gamma'$ if and only if (1) L' contains an orthant parallel to L and (2) $L \subseteq \langle L\rangle$.

We write $\Gamma^*(S) = \bigcup_k \Gamma^k(S)$ for the set of all germs of orthants in S and $\Gamma_0^*(S)$ for the set of all germs represented by an orthant of S based at the origin 0. $\Gamma^*(\mathbb{Z}^N)$ and $\Gamma_0^*(\mathbb{Z}^N)$ are abbreviated by Γ^* and Γ_0^*, respectively. As Γ_0^* and Ω_0^* are canonically bijective, we will identify them when this is convenient. Note that $\Gamma^*(S)$ is a convex subset of Γ^* in the sense that if $\gamma \in \Gamma^*(S)$ then $\{\gamma' \in \Gamma^* \mid \gamma' \leq \gamma\} \subseteq \Gamma^*(S)$. We can interpret the indicator map as an order and rank preserving surjection $\tau : \Gamma^*(S) \to \Gamma_0^*$ with $\tau(\Gamma^*(S)) = \Gamma_0^*(S_\tau)$. By $\max\Gamma^*(S)$ we mean the set of all maximal germs of S.

Excercise: Observe that $\tau(\max\Gamma^*(S)) \supseteq \max\Gamma_0^*(S_\tau)$, but this is not, in general, an equality.

Lemma 1.2 $\max\Gamma^*(S)$ *is finite for each orthohedral set* S*. The set of all germs of rank* $n = \mathrm{rk}S$ *is a subset of* $\max\Gamma^*(S)$*, whose cardinality coincides with the height* $h(S)$*. Hence* $h(S)$ *is independent of the particular decomposition of* S*.*

Proof. Let $S = \bigcup_j L_j$ be an arbitrary decomposition of S as a finite pairwise disjoint union of orthants L_j. Each orthant $L \subseteq$ is the disjoint union of the orthants $M_j = L \cap L_j$, and exactly one of them is commensurable to L. Hence $\gamma(L) = \gamma(M_j) \subseteq \gamma(L_j)$. This shows that each germ $\gamma \in \Gamma^*(S)$ is smaller or equal to one of the $\gamma(L_j)$. In particular, $\max\Gamma^*(S)$ is contained $\{\gamma(L_j) \mid j\}$ and hence finite. The orthants L_j of rank n form a complete set of representatives of all orthants of rank n. □

Remark: We leave it to the reader to deduce that $h(S \cup S') = h(S) + h(S')$, if S and S' are orthohedral sets with $\mathrm{rk}(S) = \mathrm{rk}(S') > \mathrm{rk}(S \cap S')$.

1.3 Piecewise isometric maps. Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$ be a subset. We call a map $f : S \to \mathbb{Z}^N$ *piecewise Euclidean-isometric* (abbreviated as *pei-map*), if S is a finite disjoint union of orthants with the property that the restriction of f to each of them is given by the restriction of an isometry.
Correspondingly, we call f *piecewise Euclidean-translation map* (abbreviated as *pet-map*), if S is a finite disjoint union of orthants with the property, that the restriction of f to each of them is given by the restriction of a translation.
If a bijection $f : S \to S'$ is a pei-map (resp a pet-map), so is f^{-1} and we say that S and S' are *pei-isomorphic* (resp. *pet-isomorphic*).

By the argument used in the proof of Lemma 1.2 above one shows that if f is a pei-map, then each orthant $L \subseteq S$ contains a commensurable suborthant on which f restrict to an isometric embedding. In fact, we leave it to the reader to observe

Lemma 1.3 *Let $f : \mathbb{Z}^N \to \mathbb{Z}^N$ be an injective map on an orthohedral set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$. Then f is a pei(resp. pet)-injection if and only if every orthant L of $\mathbb{Z}^N$ contains a commensurable suborthant $L' \subseteq L$ on which f is given by an isometry (resp translation) onto $f(L') \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$.*

It follows that every injective pei-map $f : S \to \mathbb{Z}^N$ induces a rank preserving injection $f_* : \Gamma^*(S) \to \Gamma^*(f(S))$. f_* does not preserve the ordering – not even if f is a pet map. But since it is rank-preserving, it does induce a bijection between the germs of maximal rank of $\Gamma^*(S)$ and $\Gamma^*(f(S))$, whence $h(f(S)) = h(S)$. The following observations can be left as an exercise:

Lemma 1.4 *If $f : S \to \mathbb{Z}^N$ is a pet-map, then $f_*(\gamma)$ is parallel to γ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma^*(S)$. Hence $\tau(f_*(\gamma)) = \tau(\gamma)$, and $S_\tau = f(S)_\tau$. In other words we have the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma^*(S) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \Gamma^*(f(S)) \\ {\scriptstyle\tau}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\tau} \\ \Gamma_0^*(S) & = & \Gamma_0^*(f(S)_\tau) \end{array}$$

□

1.4 Normal forms. Consider the disjoint union of orthants $S = L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \ldots \cup L_m$ in $\mathbb{Z}^N$. Assuming that $\mathrm{rk}S < N$ we have enough space to parallel translate each L_i to an orthant L_i' in such a way that the L_i' are still pairwise disjoint, but that each (oriented) parallelism class of the orthants L_i' is assembled to a stack. This describes a pet-bijection $S \to S' = \bigcup_j S_j$, where the S_j stand for pairwise disjoint and non-parallel stacks of orthants. We can go one step further by observing that when the maximal orthants of a stack S_i are parallel to suborthants of the stack S_j, then there is a pet-bijection $S_i \cup S_j \to S_j$ which feeds S_i into S_j. Hence we can delete all stacks S_i of orthants that are parallel to a suborthant of some other S_j and find

Proposition 1.5 (pet-normal form) *Each orthohedral set S is pet-isomorphic to a disjoint union of stacks of orthants $S' = \bigcup_j S_j$, with the property that no maximal orthant of any S_j is parallel to a suborthant in some S_k, if $k \neq j$.* □

Corollary 1.6 (pei-normal form) *Each orthohedral set S is pei-isomorphic to a stack of orthants.* □

As observed in Section 1.3, rank $\mathrm{rk}S$ and height $h(S)$ are pei-invariant; hence they can be read of from the pei-normal form; and the pair $(\mathrm{rk}S, h(S))$ characterizes S up to pei-isomorphism. For the corresponding pet-result we consider the height function

(1.1) $h_S : \Gamma_0^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$

which assigns to each 0-based orthant $L \in \Omega_0^* = \Gamma_0^*$ the number of maximal germs $\gamma \in \max\Gamma^*(S)$ with $\tau(\gamma) = L$, which is finite by Lemma 1.2. The support $\mathrm{supp}(h_S) \subseteq \Gamma_0^*$ is the set of all 0-based orthants L with $h_S(L) > 0$. From the Exercise in Section 1.2 we infer that $\max\Gamma_0^*(S_\tau) \subseteq \mathrm{supp}(h_S)$, and that this is not, in general an equality. One observes easily that the equality

(1.2) $\tau(\max\Gamma^*(S)) = \max\Gamma_0^*(S_\tau))$ (or equivalently: $\max\Gamma_0^*(S_\tau) = \mathrm{supp}(h_S)$)

is a necessary condition for S to be in pet-normal form. Thus we call S *quasi-normal* if the equation (1.2) holds. Of course, a quasi-normal orthohedral set is not necessarily in pet-normal form. But as quasi-normality implies that τ restricts to a surjection $\tau : \max\Gamma^*(S) \twoheadrightarrow \max\Gamma_0^*(S_\tau)$, $\max\Gamma^*(S)$ is the pairwise disjoint union of the fibers $f^{-1}(\gamma)$, which consist of $h_S(\gamma)$ germs parallel to γ. This can be viewed as a weak germ-version of the pet-normal form.

Lemma 1.7 *If $f : \mathbb{Z}^N \to \mathbb{Z}^N$ is a pet-injection of a quasi-normal orthohedral set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$, then $f_*(\max\Gamma^*(S)) \subseteq \max\Gamma^*(f(S))$.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.3 f induces a rank preserving bijection $f_* : \Gamma^*(S) \to \Gamma^*(f(S))$, and by Lemma 1.4 $f(S)_\tau = S_\tau$. Let $\gamma \in \max\Gamma^*(S)$. Then we know that $\tau(\gamma)$ is maximal in $\Gamma_0^*(S_\tau)$. Since f is a pet map, we also know that $\tau(f_*(\gamma)) = \tau(\gamma)$; hence $\tau(f_*(\gamma))$ is maximal in $\Gamma_0^*(S_\tau) = \Gamma_0^*(f(S)_\tau)$. We claim that $f_*(\gamma)$ is maximal in $\Gamma^*(f(S)_\tau)$. Indeed, if $f_*(\gamma)$ is not in $\max\Gamma^*(f(S)_\tau)$, then $\tau(f_*(\gamma))$ cannot be maximal in $\Gamma_0^*(f(S)_\tau)$. This shows that $f_*(\max\Gamma^*(S)) \subseteq \max\Gamma^*(f(S))$, as asserted. □

Corollary 1.8 *If $f : S \to S'$ is a pet-isomorphism between quasi-normal orthohedral sets, then $f_*(\max\Gamma^*(S)) = \max\Gamma^*(S')$ and $h_S = h_{S'}$.* □

This shows, in particular, that the stack heights in a pet-normal form are uniquely determined and characterize S up to pet-isomorphism.

2 Permutation groups supported on orthohedral sets

2.1 pei- and pet-permutation groups. Let $G = \mathrm{pei}(\mathbb{Z}^N)$ denote the group of all pei-permutations of $\mathbb{Z}^N$. Given any subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$, we write $G(S)$ for the subgroup of G supported on S, i.e. $G(S) = \{g \in G \mid g(x) = x \text{ for every } x \in (\mathbb{Z}^N - S)\}$. If S is orthohedral, so is its complement and hence every pei-permutation of S extends to a pei-isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}^N$, and we write $\mathrm{pei}(S)$ for $G(S)$ when this is convenient.

As an immediate consequence of Corollary 1.6 we have

Corollary 2.1 *If $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$ is an orthohedral subset, then* $\mathrm{pei}(S)$ *is isomorphic to* $\mathrm{pei}(S')$*, where S' is a stack of orthants of rank* $\mathrm{rk}S$ *and height $h(S)$.* □

The set of all pet-permutations on the orthohedral set S is the pet-subgroup $\mathrm{pet}(S) \leq G(S)$. As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.5 and its subsequent remark we find

Corollary 2.2 *If $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$ is an orthohedral subset and $S' = \bigcup_j S_j$ its pet-normal form, then* $\mathrm{pet}(S)$ *is isomorphic to* $\mathrm{pet}(S')$*.* □

2.2 The germ stabilizer. Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$ be an orthohedral set. We start by attaching to each germ $\gamma \in \Gamma^*(S)$ the union $\langle\gamma\rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^N$ of all orthants representing γ, which we call the *tangent coset at* γ. Clearly, $\langle\gamma\rangle$ isometric to $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{rkL}}$. Given a pei-permutation $g \in G(S)$, we find in each orthant L of S a suborthant L' commensurable with L, such that the restriction $g|_{L'} : L' \to S$ is an isometric embedding, and putting $g\gamma(L') = \gamma(gL')$ yields a well defined induced action of $G(S)$ on $\Gamma^*(S)$. A certain control over the stabilizer $C(\gamma)$ of γ is given by

Proposition 2.3 *$C(\gamma)$ acts canonically on the tangent coset $\langle\gamma\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{rk}(\gamma)}$ by isometries. The kernel $K(\gamma) = \ker\big(C(\gamma) \to \mathrm{Isom}\langle\gamma\rangle\big)$ of this action consists of all elements $g \in G(S)$ with the property that γ is represented by an orthant pointwise fixed by g.*

Proof. Let $g \in G(S)$ with $L' \subseteq L$ as above. If $g\gamma(L') = \gamma(gL') = \gamma(L')$, then L' and gL' are commensurable and hence the restriction map $g|_{L'}$ embeds L' isometrically into $\langle\gamma\rangle$. This embedding extends to an isometry of $\langle\gamma\rangle$ and is independent of the particular choice of L'. One observes that this well defines an action of $C(\gamma)$ on $\langle\gamma\rangle$; the second statement is obvious. □

Remark. Note that $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{Z}^k)$ contains the translation subgroup $\mathbb{Z}^k$ as a normal subgroup of finite index.

2.3 A normal series for G(S). With S as in 2.2 we consider the chain of subsets

$$(^*) \quad \Gamma^*(S) = \Gamma[0] \supseteq \Gamma[1] \supseteq \Gamma[2] \supseteq \ldots \supseteq \Gamma[j] \supseteq \ldots \supseteq \Gamma[n] = \Gamma^n(S),$$

where $\Gamma[j] = \bigcup_{k \geq j} \Gamma^k(S)$. As the action of $G(S)$ on $\Gamma^*(S)$ preserves the rank it yields an ascending series for the germwise stabilizers

$$1 = C(\Gamma[0]) \subseteq C(\Gamma[1]) \subseteq C(\Gamma[2]) \subseteq \ldots \subseteq C(\Gamma[j]) \subseteq \ldots \subseteq C(\Gamma[n]) = C\big(\Gamma^n(S)\big),$$

where

$$C(\Gamma[j]) := \{g \in G(S) \ \mid \ g\gamma = \gamma, \text{ for all germs } \gamma \in \Gamma^*(S) \text{ with } \mathrm{rk}\gamma \geq j\}.$$

But we can do better: Let

$$\begin{aligned} K(\Gamma[j] &:= \bigcap_{\gamma\in\Gamma[j]} K(\gamma) \\ &= \{g \in C(\Gamma[j]) \mid g \text{ pointwise fixes in each } L \in \Omega^k(S), \\ &\qquad\qquad \text{with } k \le j, \text{a commensurable suborthant.}\} \end{aligned}$$

We claim

Proposition 2.4 *$K(\Gamma[j])$ acts by finitary permutations on $\Gamma[j-1]$.*

Proof. Let $g \in K(\Gamma[j])$. We start by proving, that g is supported on an orthohedral subset $S' \subseteq S$ with rank $S' = j-1$. We prove this by induction on $i = n - j$.

If $j = n$, then $g \in K(\Gamma[n])$ asserts that each rank-n germ is represented by an orthant pointwise fixed by g. By Corollary 1.2 $\Gamma^n(S)$ is finite, and when we remove from S for each $\gamma \in \Gamma^n(S)$ an orthant representing γ which is pointwise fixed by g, we end up with a rank-$(n-1)$ orthohedral subset $S' \subseteq S$ which supports g.
If $j < n$ and $g \in K(\Gamma[j])$, the inductive hypothesis asserts that g is supported on a orthohedral subset $S' \subseteq S$ of rank j. As before, $\Gamma^j(S')$ is finite. So we can remove representing rank-j orthants pointwise fixed by g and find an orthohedral rank-$(j-1)$ subset $S'' \subseteq S'$ supporting g. S'' contains all (finitely many) rank-$(j-1)$ orthants of S which are not pointwise fixed by g. This proves the proposition, for it is the set of all germs of such orbits that g has to permute in $\Gamma[j-1]$. □

The kernel of the finitary permutation representation $K(\Gamma[j]) \to \mathrm{Sym}(\Gamma[j-1])$ is the subgroup $C(\Gamma[j-1])$; hence we have

Theorem 2.5 *For any orthohedral set S with $\mathrm{rk}S = n$ the group $G(S)$ admits the normal series*

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \le K(\Gamma[1]) \le C(\Gamma[1]) \le K(\Gamma[2]) &\le C(\Gamma[2]) \le \dots \\ &\le C(\Gamma[n-1]) \le K(\Gamma[n]) \le C(\Gamma[n]) \le G(S), \end{aligned}$$

with the factor groups $\mathrm{pei}(S)/C(\Gamma[n]) = \mathrm{sym}(n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} C(\Gamma[n])/K(\Gamma[n]) &\le \mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{Z}^n)^{h(S)} \text{ Abelian-by-finite,} \\ C(\Gamma[j])/K(\Gamma[j]) &\le \mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{Z}^j)^{\infty} \text{ Abelian-by-(locally finite), if } 1 < j < n \\ K(\Gamma[j])/C(\Gamma[j-1]) &\le \mathrm{sym}(\infty) \text{ locally finite, if } 1 \le j \le n. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2.6 *$G(S)$ is elementary-amenable.* □

3 A lower bound for the finiteness length of pei(S)

In this section we will define a certain "diagonal" subgroup, $\mathrm{pei}_{\mathrm{dia}}(S) \leq \mathrm{pei}(S)$, and prove

Theorem 3.1 *For every orthohedral set S we have*

$$fl\big(\mathrm{pei}(S)\big) \geq fl\big(\mathrm{pei}_{\mathrm{dia}}(S)\big) = h(S) - 1.$$

3.1 The height of a pei-injection $\mathbf{f : S \to S}$. We start with a general observation on the set of germs, when an orthohedral set S comes with a decomposition of a disjoint union $S = A \cup B$ of two orthohedral subsets. In that case every orthant $L \subseteq S$ inherits the decomposition $L = (A \cap L) \cup (B \cap L)$, which shows that one of the orthants of either A or B is commensurable to L. This shows that the germs of S have an induced disjoint decomposition $\Gamma^k(S) = \Gamma^k(A) \cup \Gamma^k(B)$ for each k.

Now let S be an orthohedral set of rank $\mathrm{rk}S = n$. We can represent the rank-n germs of S by pairwise disjoint orthants $L_1, \ldots, L_h, h = h(S)$, with the property that the restriction of f to each L_i is an isometric embedding into S. $f(L_i)$ is then commensurable to some Lj, and since f is injective it follows:
f permutes the germs $\gamma(L_1), \ldots, \gamma(L_p)$, and $\mathrm{rk}(S - f(S)) < \mathrm{rk}S$.
As $S - f(S)$ is an orthohedral set, we now obtain that the number of rank-$(n-1)$ germs in $S - f(S)$ is finite. We call this number *the height of* f, denoted $h(f) = h\big(S - f(S)\big) = h(S - Sf)$.

Lemma 3.2 *i) If $f, g : S \to S$ are two pei-injections, then $h(f \cdot g) = h(f) + h(g)$.*

ii) If $A \subseteq S$ is an orthohedral subset whose complement $A^c = S - A$ has rank $\mathrm{rk}A^c < n = \mathrm{rk}S$, then the height of any pei-injection $f : S \to S$ is given by $h(f) = h\big(A \cap f(A)^c\big) - h\big(A^c \cap f(A)\big)$.

Proof. i) Consider the disjoint union $S = (S - Sg) \cup Sg$. As f is injective $Sf = (Sf - Sgf) \cup gf$ is also a disjoint union. Hence so is $S = (S - Sf) \cup Sf = (S - Sf) \cup (Sf - Sgf) \cup Sgf$, and we find

$$S - Sgf = (S - Sf) \cup (Sf - Sgf).$$

Now, f is a pei-bijection between $(S - Sg)$ and $(S - Sg)f = (Sf - Sgf)$; and a pei-bijection of a an orthohedral set induces a pei-bijection on its germs. Thus the number of rank-$(n-1)$ germs of $(S - Sg)$ and $(Sf - Sgf)$ are the same. This proves i).
ii) Each pei-injection $f : S \to S$ induces an injection $f^* : \Gamma^{n-1}(S) \to \Gamma^{n-1}(S)$. We abbreviate $B = A^c$ and know from $\mathrm{rk}B < n$ that $\Gamma^{n-1}(B)$ is finite. Hence f^* restricts to a bijection $f^* : \Gamma^{n-1}(B) \to \Gamma^{n-1}\big(f(B)\big)$. On the complement we find the induced injection $f^* : \Gamma^{n-1}(A) \to \Gamma^{n-1}\big(f(A)\big)$.

We use the abbreviation $P^* := \Gamma^{n-1}(P)$ for $P = S, A, B$, and consider the disjoint union

$$\begin{aligned} S^* - f^*(S^*) &= \big(A^* - A^* \cap f^*(S^*)\big) \cup \big(B^* - B^* \cap f^*(S^*)\big) \\ &= \big(A^* - A^* \cap f^*(A^*) - A^* \cap f^*(B^*)\big) \cup \big(B^* - B^* \cap f^*(A^*) - B^* \cap f^*(B^*)\big). \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that B^* and $A^* - f^*(A^*)$ are finite, we find for $h(f) = h\big(S^* - f^*(S^*)\big)$

$$h(f) = h\big(A^* - A^* \cap f^*(A^*)\big) - h\big(A^* \cap f^*(B^*)\big) + h(B^*) - h\big(B^* \cap f^*(A^*)\big) - h\big(B^* \cap f^*(B^*)\big).$$

Now we apply that $h(B^*) = h\big(f(B^*)\big)$ and observe that

$$\begin{aligned} -h\big(A^* \cap f^*(B^*)\big) \;+\; & h(B^*) - h\big(B^* \cap f^*(B^*)\big) \\ = \; & -h\big(A^* \cap f^*(B^*)\big) + h\big(f(B^*)\big) - h\big(B^* \cap f^*(B^*)\big) \\ = \; & h\big(f(B^*) - h\big((A^* \cap f^*(B^*)\big) \cup \big(B^* \cap f^*(B^*)\big)\big) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence our expression for h(f) simplifies to

$$h(f) = h\big(A^* - A^* \cap f^*(A^*)\big) - h\big(B^* \cap f^*(A^*)\big) = h\big(A^* \cap f^*(A^*)c\big) - h\big(B^* \cap f^*(A^*)\big)$$

as asserted. □

3.2 Monoids of pei-injections. Let S be an orthohedral set of rank $n = \mathrm{rk}S$ in pet-normal form. In particular S is the pairwise disjoint union of finitely many specified stacks of orthants. Let $\max\Gamma^*(S)$ denote the finite set of all maximal germs of S. We write $M(S)$ for the monoid of all pei-injections $S \to S$. It is endowed with the height function $h : M(S) \to \mathbb{N}$ of Section 3.1. Let $M_0(S)$ be the submonoid of all pei-endoinjections of S, which fix all maximal germs of S. $M_0(S)$ is of finite index in $M(S)$ since $\max\Gamma^*(S)$ is finite. As in the proof of Proposition 2.2 we see, that each $f \in M_0$ induces an isometry $\tau_{(f,\gamma)} : \langle\gamma\rangle \to \langle\gamma\rangle$ on the tangent coset of each germ $\gamma \in \max\Gamma^*(S)$. Thus we have a homomorphism

$$(3.1) \qquad \kappa : M_0(S) \longrightarrow> \bigoplus\nolimits_{\gamma \in \max\Gamma^*(S)} \mathrm{Iso}\,(\langle\gamma\rangle)\,, \text{ given by } \kappa(f) = \bigoplus\nolimits_{\gamma \in \max\Gamma^*(S)} \tau_{(f,\gamma)}.$$

The *translation submonoid* $M_{tr}(S) \subseteq M_0(S)$ consists of all $f \in M_0(S)$ with the property that the induced maps $\tau_{(f,\gamma)} : \langle\gamma\rangle \to \langle\gamma\rangle$ are translations for each $\gamma \in \max\Gamma^*(S)$. Since the translation subgroup of $\mathrm{Isom}(\langle\gamma\rangle)$ is of finite index, $M_{tr}(S)$ has finite index in $M_0(S)$. And restricting (3.1) yields a surjective homomorphism

$$(3.2) \qquad \kappa : M_{\mathrm{tr}}(S) \longrightarrow> \bigoplus\nolimits_{\gamma \in \max\Gamma^*(S)} \mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{rk}\gamma} = \mathbb{Z}^N, \text{with } N = \Sigma_{\gamma \in \max\Gamma^*(S)} \mathrm{rk}\gamma.$$

Every orthant L contains a characteristic *diagonal element* $u_L \in L$: the sum of the canonical basis of L. We write $t_L : L \to L$ for the translation given by addition of u_L and call this the *diagonal unit-translation* of L. The general diagonal translations on L (i.e on $\langle L\rangle$) are given by addition of an integral multiple of u_L. By the *diagonal submonoid* $M_{\mathrm{dia}}(S) \subseteq M_{\mathrm{tr}}(S)$ we mean the set of all elements $f \in M_{\mathrm{tr}}(S)$ with the

property, that for each $\gamma \in \max\Gamma^*(S)$ the induced isometry $\tau_{(f,\gamma)} : \langle\gamma\rangle \to \langle\gamma\rangle$ is a diagonal translation. Restricting (3.2) yields the homomorphism

$$\kappa : M_{\rm dia}(S) \longrightarrow> \bigoplus\nolimits_{\gamma\in\max\Gamma^*(S)} \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}^{|\max\Gamma^*(S)|}. \tag{3.3}$$

We write $\max\Omega^*(S)$ for the set of all maximal orthants of the stacks of S, and consider the set $T = \{t_L \mid L \in \max\Omega^*(S)\}$ of all diagonal unit-translations of these orthants. Each $t_L \in T$ extends canonically to a pei-injection on $t_L : S \to S$, which is the identity on $S - L$. We denote it by the same symbol t_L, and with this interpretation T generates a free-Abelian submonoid $\mathrm{mon}(T) \leq M_{\rm dia}(S)$.

Definition 3.3 *Given $f, f' \in M_{dia}(S)$ we define $f \leq f'$ if there is some $t \in \mathrm{mon}(T)$ with $tf = f'$.*

Observation. $M_{\rm dia}(S)$ is a *directed partially ordered set.* □

It is an important fact, that the height function $h : M(S) \to \mathbb{N}$ is order preserving and its restrictions to totally ordered subsets of $M(S)$ are injective. We will also have to consider slices of $M_{\rm dia}(S)$. For given $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $r \leq s$ we put

$$\begin{aligned} M^{[r,s]} &:= \{f \in M_{\rm dia}(S) \mid r \leq h(f) \leq s\} \quad \text{and} \\ M^{[r,\infty]} &:= \{f \in M_{\rm dia}(S) \mid r \leq h(f)\}. \end{aligned}$$

$M^{[r,\infty]}$ inherits the partial ordering from $M_{\rm dia}(S)$ and is also a directed set.

3.3 Maximal elements $<$ f in $\mathbf{M_{dia}(S)}$. From now on we assume that all maximal orthants of the stacks of S have the same finite rank $n = \mathrm{rk}S$. We put $\Lambda := \max\Omega^*(S)$. We write

$$M_{<f} = \{a \in M_{\rm dia}(S) \mid a < f\}, \quad M_{\leq f} = \{a \in M_{\rm dia}(S) \mid a \leq f\}$$

for the *"open resp. closed cones below f"* and aim to understand the set of all maximal elements of $M_{<f}$. For this it will be convenient to have to introduce the abbreviation for the points on the (finite) boundary of the maximal orthants L, so we set $\partial L := L - Lt_L$.

Lemma 3.4 *Let b be a maximal element of $M_{<f}$. Then there is a unique maximal orthant $L \in \Lambda$ with the property that $f = t_L b$ and $h(f) = h(b) + n$. Furthermore b is given as the union $b = b' \cup b''$, where $b' : \partial L \to (S - Sf)$ is a pei-injection, and $b'' : (S - \partial L) \to Sf$ is the restriction $(t_L^{-1} f)\,|_{(S-\partial L)}$. Converseley, if $c' : \partial L \to (S - Sf)$ is an arbitrary pei-injection distinct to b', then the union $c = c' \cup b''$ is a maximal element of $M_{<f}$ distinct to b.*

Proof. For each element $b \in M_{<f}$ there is some $t \in \mathrm{mon}(T)$ with $f = tb$. t has a unique reduced expansion as a product of elements of T; let $l(t)$ denote the length of this

expansion. As $h(t_L) = L = n$ for each $L \in \Lambda$ we have $h(t) = n\ l(t)$. It follows that if b is maximal, then $h(t) = n$ and $t = t_L \in T$ for some $L \in \Lambda$. The maximal orthant L is uniquely determined by the fact that the restriction of f and b coincide on $S - L$. The restriction b'' of b to $(S - \partial L)$ coincides with $(t_L^{-1} f)\mid_{(S-\partial L)}$, and has its image in Sf. The restriction b' of b to ∂L is not determined by f and L. As b and f are injective we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset = (\partial L)b \cap (S - \partial L)b &= (\partial L)b \cap \big((S - L)b \cup Lt_L b\big) \\ &= (\partial L)b \cap \big((S - L)f \cup Lf\big) \\ &= (\partial L)b \cap Sf. \end{aligned}$$

Hence b' can be viewed as a pei-injection $\partial L \to (S - Sf)$. If we replace b' by another pei-map $c' : \partial L \to (S - Sf)$, the union $c = c' \cup b''$ will still satisfy $f = t_L c$ and $h(f) = h(c) + n$. This shows that c will also be maximal in $M_{<f}$. □

Lemma 3.5 *Let $B \subseteq M_{<f}$ be a finite set of maximal elements of $M_{<f}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

i) The elements of B have a common lower bound δ in $M_{<f}$

ii) For every pair $(b, b') \in B \times B$ with $b \neq b'$ and $tb = f = t'b'$ for diagonal unit-translations t, t', we have

a) $t \neq t'$ and

b) $b(\partial L) \cap b'(\partial L') = \emptyset$, where L resp. L' are the maximal orthants of S on which t resp. t' acts non-trivially.

Proof. i) ⇒ ii). Let δ be a common lower bound of the elements of B. Then for every pair $(b, b') \in B \times B$ there are diagonal translations $d, d' \in \mathrm{mon}(T)$ with $d\delta = b$ and $d'\delta = b'$. From $tb = f = t'b'$ we obtain $td\delta = t'd'\delta$ and conclude $td = t'd'$. The assumption $t = t'$ would now imply $d = d'$ and hence $b = b'$.
Let L resp. L' denote the maximal orthants of S on which t resp. t' acts non-trivially. As d, d' are diagonal translations, we have $d(L) \subseteq L$ and $d'(L') \subseteq L'$. From $t \neq t'$ we know $L \cap L' = \emptyset$, and hence $(\partial L)d \cap (\partial L')d' = \emptyset$. Since δ is injective, this implies $\emptyset = (\partial L)d\delta \cap (\partial L')d'\delta = (\partial L)b \cap (\partial L')b'$, as asserted.

ii)⇐ i). For each $b \in B$ we have some diagonal unit-translation $t_b \in T$ with $t_b b = f$, and we put

$$t_B := \textstyle\prod_{b\in B} t_b. \quad (3.4)$$

By assumption (i) the maximal orthants L_b on which t_b is a diagonal unit-translation are pairwise disjoint. Thus $|B| \leq h(S)$, and S decomposes in the disjoint union $S =$

$\left(\bigcup_{b\in B} L_b\right) \cup S'$. We define the pei-injection $\delta_B : S \to S$ as follows:

$$\delta_B := \begin{cases} {t_b}^{-1} f & \text{on each } L_b t_b \\ b & \text{on the complements } \partial L_b = L_b - L_b t_b \\ f & \text{on } S'. \end{cases}$$

Assumption (ii) guarantees that the restriction of δ_B to the union $\bigcup_{b\in B} \partial L_b = \bigcup_{b\in B}(L_b - L_b t_b) = (S - St_B)$ is injective. And since the image of each ∂L_b is disjoint to $f(S)$, we also find that the image of $(S - St_B)$ is disjoint to $f(S)$, and also to $f(S') \subseteq f(S)$. This shows that δ is a pei-injection. It remains to prove that δ_B is a common lower bound for the elements of B. By commutativity we find elements $s_b \in$ mon (T) with $t_B\delta_B = t_b s_b \delta_B$, where $s_b = \prod_{x\in(B-\{b\})} t_x$. One observes that $s_b\delta_B$ and b agree on $(S - St_b) = (L_b - L_b t_b)$, and that $t_B\delta_B = f = t_b b$. Hence b and $s_b\delta_B$ agree on S. □

Lemma 3.6 *In the situation of Lemma 3.5 we have for the lower bound δ_B defined in the proof:*

i) δ_B is, in fact, a largest common lower bound of the elements of B

ii) $h(\delta_B) \geq h(f) - h(S)n$.

Proof. i) We compare an arbitrary common lower bound γ with δ_B, the lower bound constructed in the proof above. Thus for each $b \in B$ we are given $u_b \in \mathrm{mon}(T)$ with $u_b\gamma = b$. We fix a base element $b' \in B$ and define the diagonal translation $t' \in \mathrm{mon}(T)$ by its action on S as

$$t' := \begin{cases} u_{b'} & \text{on } S' \\ u_b & \text{on each } L_b. \end{cases}$$

We use the elements s_b defined in the proof of Lemma 3.5 and observes that

$$\begin{aligned} xt'\gamma = xu_{b'}\gamma = xb' = xs_{b'}\delta_B = x\delta_B \quad & \text{for } x \in S' \\ xt'\gamma = xu_b\gamma = xb = xs_b\delta_B = x\delta_B \quad & \text{for } x \in L_b. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $t'\gamma = \delta_B$, hence $\delta_B \geq \gamma$.
ii) For the translation t of (3.4) we have $t\delta_B = f$ and can deduce that $h(\delta_B) = h(f) - h(t) = h(f) - |B|n \geq h(f) - h(S)n$. □

3.4 The simplicial complex of $\mathbf{M_{dia}(S)}$. We consider the simplicial complex $|M_{\mathrm{dia}}(S)|$, whose vertices are the elements of $M_{\mathrm{dia}}(S)$ and whose chains of length $k, a_0 < a_1 < \ldots < a_k$, are the k-simplices. As the partial ordering on $M_{\mathrm{dia}}(S)$ is directed, $|M_{\mathrm{dia}}(S)|$ is contractible.

In this section we aim to prove

Lemma 3.7 *If $h(f) \geq 2 \cdot \mathrm{rk}S \cdot h(S)$, then $|M_{<f}|$ has the homotopy type of a bouquet of $\big(h(S)-1\big)$-spheres.*

The first step towards proving Lemma 3.7 is to consider the covering of $|M_{<f}|$ by the subcomplexes $|M_{\leq b}|$, where b runs through the maximal elements of $M_{<f}$. We write $N(f)$ for the nerve of this covering. Lemma 3.5 and 3.6 show, that all finite intersections of such subcomplexes $|M_{\leq b}|$ are again cones and hence contractible. It is a well known fact that in this situation the space is homotopy equivalent to the nerve of the covering. Hence we have

$$|M_{<f}| \textit{ is homotopy equivalent to the nerve } N(f)$$

and it remains to compute the homotopy type of $N(f)$.

The next step is to use the results of Section 3.3 to find a combinatorial model for the nerve $N(f)$. The vertices of $N(f)$ are the maximal elements of $M_{<f}$, and hence, by Lemma 3.4, in 1-1-correspondence to the disjoint union $A = \bigcup_{L\in\Lambda} A_L$, where A_L stands for the set of all pei-injections $a : \partial L \to (S - Sf)$. Lemma 3.4 allows to translate the simplicial structure of $N(f)$ into a simplicial complex $\Sigma(f)$ on A:
the p-simplices of $N(f)$ are the p-element sets of maximal elements $B \subseteq M_{<f}$ with a common lower bound, and the corresponding p-simplices of $\Sigma(f)$ are the sequences $(a_L)_{L\in\Lambda'}$, where Λ' is a p-element subset of Λ and $a_L \in A_L$ with the property

$(*)$ *The intersections of the images $a_L(\partial L), L \in \Lambda'$, are pairwise disjoint.*

Following [Br87] and [Sa92] we will now be able to compute the homotopy type of $\Sigma(f)$ by means of a lemma on colored graphs.

Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a combinatorial graph, given by a set V of *vertices* and set E of *edges*, where an edge is a set consisting of two non-equal vertices. A *clique* of Γ is any subset $C \subseteq V$ with the property, that any two vertices of C are joined by an edge of Γ. The *flag-complex* $K(\Gamma)$ is the simplicial complex on V whose p-simplices are the cliques consisting of $p + 1$ vertices of V. Our main example here is the complex $\Sigma(f)$, which is easily seen to be the flag-complex of its 1-skeleton $\Gamma(f)$.

Let h be a natural number. By an h-colored graph Γ_h whose vertex set V is the disjoint union of n subsets $V_1, \ldots V_h$. Vertices $v, v' of V$ are said to have the same color i if they are contained in the same subset V_i. And we assume that two vertices joined by an edge are never of the same color.

Lemma 3.8 *If all colors $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, h\}$ of an h-colored graph $\Gamma_h = (V, E)$ satisfy the two properties*

(1) *V_i contains at least two elements, and*

(2) *For any choice of* $2(h-1)$ *vertices* $u^1, \dots u^{2(h-1)}$ *in* $V - V_i$ *there are two vertices* v, w *in* V_i *which are adjacent to each* u^j*, i.e., for each* $j \in \{1, 2, \dots 2(h-1)\}$ *there is an edge path of length* 2 *in* Γ_h *joining* v *and* w *via* u^j*.*

Then the flag-complex $K(\Gamma_h)$ *has the homotopy type of a bouquet of* $(h-1)$*-spheres.*

Proof. We use induction on h, starting with the observation that the statement is trivial when $h = 1$. For $h \geq 2$ we assume that $K(\Gamma_{h-1})$ is homotopy equivalent to a bouquet of $(h-2)$-spheres, if Γ_{h-1} is an $(h-1)$-colored graph which satisfies the properties (1) and (2). We construct $K(\Gamma_h)$ in several steps, similar to the method applied in Brown's proof for Hougthon's Groups [Br87]. We start with choosing a base vertex $v_1 \in V1$ and consider its star in $K(\Gamma_h)$,

$$K_0 := \mathrm{st}_{K(\Gamma h)}(v_1)$$

Then we proceed with $i = 1, 2, \dots, h$ by taking the union of $K_{i-1} \cup V_i'$, where V_i' is the set of all vertices of V_i which are not joined with the base vertex v_1 by an edge. And we put

$$K_i := \text{ full subcomplex of } K(\Gamma_h) \text{ generated by } K_{i-1} \cup V_i'.$$

One observes that $(V_1 \cup \ldots \cup V_i) \subseteq K_i$ and $(V_{i+1} \cup \ldots \cup V_h) \cap K_i = K_0$. In particular, $K_h = K(\Gamma_h)$. K_i is obtained from K_{i-1} by adjoining vertices $v \in V_i$ that are not connected to the base vertex v_1 by an edge; then taking the full subcomplex of $K(\Gamma_h)$. Thus K_i is obtained from K_{i-1} by adjoining for these vertices v the cone over

$$\mathrm{lk}(K_{i-1}, v) := \text{ the link of } v \text{ in } K_{i-1}.$$

The 1-skeleton of $\mathrm{lk}(K_{i-1}, v)$ has vertex set

$$\begin{array}{rcl} W & = & W_1 \cup \ldots \cup W_{i-1} \cup W_{i+1} \cup \ldots \cup W_h \text{ with} \\ W_j & := & \text{set of vertices of } V_j \text{ which are joined with } v \text{ by an edge,} \\ & & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, i-1 \\ W_j & := & \text{set of vertices of } V_j \text{ which are joined with } v \text{ and } v_1 \text{ by an} \\ & & \text{edge, for } j = i+1, \dots, h. \end{array}$$

Thus, the 1-skeleton of $\mathrm{lk}(K_{i-1}, v)$ is an $(h-1)$-colored subgraph Γ_{h-1} of Γ_h with vertex set W and colors $\{1, 2, \dots, h\} - \{i\}$, and $\mathrm{lk}(K_{i-1}, v)$ is the flag-complex $K(\Gamma_{h-1})$. Now we consider any $2(h-2)$ vertices $u^1, \dots, u^{2(h-2)}$ of $W - W_j$ with colors in $\{1, 2, \dots, h\} - \{i, j\}$ for some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, h\} - \{i\}$. Together with the vertices v_1 and v, we obtain $2(h-1)$ vertices $u^1, \dots, u^{2(h-2)}, v_1, v$ of $V - V_j$. By property (2) of Γ_h, there exists two vertices w, w' in V_j , which can be joined by an edge path of length 2 via u^k for each $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2(h-2)\}$, and additionally via v_1, v. In particular, w and w' can be joined by an edge with v_1 and v, and so they are vertices of W_j. Hence Γ_{h-1} satisfies

the two properties of the lemma, and in view of the inductive hypothesis, $\mathrm{lk}(K_{i-1}, v)$ is homotopy equivalent to a bouquet of $(h-2)$-spheres.

From here we can use the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 5.3 in [Br87]: Starting with the contractible complex K_0, K_1 is obtained from K_0 by adjoining for each vertex $v \in V_1'$ a cone over $\mathrm{lk}(K_0, v)$. Using the homotopy type of $\mathrm{lk}(K_0, v)$, we can deduce that K_1 is homotopy equivalent to a bouquet of $(h-1)$-spheres. For the next steps in the construction of K_h, we know that K_i is obtained from K_{i-1} by adjoining for each vertex $v \in V_i'$ a cone over $\mathrm{lk}(K_{i-1}, v)$. In view of the homotopy type of $\mathrm{lk}(K_{i-1}, v)$, we see that, up to homotopy, the passage from K_{i-1} to K_i consists of the adjunction of $(h-1)$-cells to a bouquet of $(h-1)$-spheres. □

We will now apply Lemma 3.8 to the 1-skeleton $\Gamma(f)$ of $\Sigma(f)$. By definition its vertex set is the disjoint union $A = \bigcup_{L\in\Lambda} A_L$, and we regard the various A_L as the coloring of $\Gamma(f)$. The edges of Γ are the pairs of such pei-injections $\{a_L, a'_{L'}\}$ with disjoint images. Thus $\Gamma(f)$ is an $h(S)$-colored graph $\Gamma(f)_{h(S)}$ in the sense above, and in order to establish Lemma 3.7 it remains to prove

Lemma 3.9 *If $h(f) \geq 2 \cdot \mathrm{rk}S \cdot h(S)$, then $\Gamma(f)_{h(S)}$ satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 3.8.*

Proof. Let $n := S$ and $h := h(S)$. Assumption (1) is a consequence of assumption (2) except in the trivial case $h = 1$.

To prove (2) we fix $L \in \Lambda$ and consider a set of $2(h-1)$ elements $F \subseteq A - A_L$. We have to show that there are two elements $a, b \in A_L$ with the property that for each $c \in F$ the image $\mathrm{im}(c) = c\,(\partial L(c))$ is disjoint to both $a(\partial L)$ and $b(\partial L)$. In other words: there are two pei-injections

$$a, b : \partial L \to \big(S - Sf\big) - \Big(\bigcup_{c\in F} \mathrm{im}(c)\Big).$$

To show this it suffices to compare the height function - i.e., the number of rank-$(n-1)$ germs - of domain and target. Clearly, $h\,(a(\partial L))) = h(\partial L) = n$, and the same applies to every vertex of A. Hence $h\left(\bigcup_{c\in F} \mathrm{im}(c)\right) \leq 2(h-1)n$. By assumption $h\big(S - Sf\big) \geq 2hn$, and so the target orthohedral set has height at least $2hn - 2(h-1)n = 2n$, which is more than the height $h(\partial L) = n$ of the domain. In this situation one observes easily that there are arbitrarily many different pei-injections in A_L whose image is disjoint to $\bigcup_{c\in F} \mathrm{im}(c)$. This proves the lemma. □

Remark 3.10 If we replace $M_{<f}$ by the subset $M_{r,f} := \{a \in M_{\mathrm{dia}}(S) \mid h(a) \geq r \text{ and } a < f\}$, the assertion of Lemma 3.7 holds true, provided f satisfies the additional condition $h(f) \geq r + h(S)$. In this case we know by Lemma 3.6 that $h(\delta_B) \geq r$, where δ_B stands for the largest lower bound of a finite set B of maximal elements of $M_{r,f}$. Thus δ_B is an element of $M_{r,f}$ and the proof of Lemma 3.7 works the same way for the reduced simplicial complex $|M_{r,f}|$.

3.5 Stabilizers and cocompact skeletons of M(S). The group $G(S)$ of all pei-permutations acts on $M(S)$ from the right, and as $h(g) = 0$ for all $g \in G(S)$ the height function $h : M(S) \to \mathbb{N}$ is invariant under this action. Correspondingly, $G_\#(S) := G(S) \cap M_\#(S)$ acts on $M_\#(S)$, where # stands for 0, tr, or dia. We will also restrict attention to the various $G_\#(S)$-invariant subsets $M_\#^{[r,s]}(S) = \{f \in M_\#(S) \mid r \leq h(f) \leq s\}$ for prescribed numbers $r \leq s$ in $\mathbb{N}_0$. And also, mutatis mutandis, for the corresponding pet-groups $\mathrm{pet}_\#(S)$ - note that $\mathrm{pet}_0(S) = \mathrm{pet}_{\mathrm{tr}}(S)$.

We start with the following easy observation:

Lemma 3.11 *Two elements $f, f' \in M(S)$ are in the same* $\mathrm{pei}(S)$*-orbit if and only if $(S - Sf)$ and $(S - Sf')$ are pei-isomorphic.*

Proof. As both Sf and Sf' are pei-isomorphic to S there is a pei-isomorphism $g' : Sf \to Sf'$. If there is also a pei-isomorphism $g'' : (S - Sf) \to (S - Sf')$ then the union $g = g' \cup g''$ is a pei-permutation of S with $fg = f'$. Conversely, $fg = f'$ implies $(S - Sf') = (Sg - Sfg) = (S - Sf)g$, hence $(S - Sf')$ is pei-isomorphic to $(S - Sf)$. □

Since orthohedral sets of the same rank and height are pei-isomorphic by Corollary 1.5, it follows that $\mathrm{pei}(S)$ acts transitively on the set of all pei-injections of a given $\mathrm{rk}(S - Sf)$ and height k. The very same can be said for the action of $G_\#(S)$ on $M_\#(S)$.

Let $\Delta = (a_0 < a_1 < \ldots < a_{k-1} < a_k)$ be a k-simplex of $|M(S)|$. By definition there are elements $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_k \in \mathrm{mon}(T)$, with $a_i = t_i a_0$ for all i; they are uniquely defined and form a k-simplex $\Delta' = (id < t_1 < \ldots < t_{k-1} < t_k) \in |\mathrm{mon}(T)|$. Moreover, putting $\sigma(\Delta) := (\Delta, a_0)$ defines a bijection

$$\sigma : \ |M(S)| \ \longrightarrow \ |\mathrm{mon}(T)| \times \ M(S).$$

The action of $\mathrm{pei}(S)$ on $|M(S)|$ is given by $(a_0 < a_1 < \ldots < a_k)g = (a_0 g < a_1 g < \ldots < a_{k-1} g < a_k g)$. We can leave it to the reader to observe that this action induces, via σ, on $|\mathrm{mon}(T)| \times M(S)$ the $G(S)$-action given by simple right action on $M(S)$.

The simple structure of the $G_\#(S)$-action on $|M_\#(S)|$ has two immediate consequences:

Corollary 3.12 *i) The stabilizer of a k-simplex of $|M_\#(S)|$ coincides with the stabilizer of its minimal vertex f and is isomorphic to $G_\#(S - Sf)$.*

ii) For every numbers $r \leq s$ in $\mathbb{N}_0$ the simplicial complex of $M_\#^{[r,s]}(S) = \{f \in M_\#(S) \mid r \leq h(f) \leq s\}$ is cocompact under the $G_\#(S)$-action

Proof. i) One observes that right action of $g \in G_\#(S)$ on $M_\#(S)$ fixes an element $f \in M_\#(S)$ if and only if g restricted to Sf is the identity. In other words, the stabilizer

of the vertex $f \in M_{\#}(S)$ is isomorphic to $G_{\#}(S - Sf)$.
ii) We use the interpretation of a simplex $\Delta = (a_0 < a_1 < \ldots < a_{k-1} < a_k) \in |M(S)|$ in $|\mathrm{mon}(T)| \times M(S)$. Since $G_{\#}(S)$ acts transitively on the set of all pei-injections in $M_{\#}(S)$ of a given $\mathrm{rk}(S - Sf)$ and height k, the bound on $h(a_0)$ allows only finitely many $G_{\#}(S)$-orbits on the second component $M(S)$. The bound on $h(a_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$ allows only finitely many simplices in the first component $|\mathrm{mon}(T)|$. □

3.6 The conclusion. Here we put things together to prove Theorem 3.1, i.e $fl\big(G(S)\big) \geq fl\big(G_{\mathrm{dia}}(S)\big) = h(S) - 1$.

Proof. We will first show, by induction on $n = S$, that $fl\big(G_{\mathrm{dia}}(S)\big) = h(S) - 1$. If $n = 1$, then the group $G_0(S)$ is the Houghton group on $h(S)$ rays and has finite index in $G(S)$. In that case the assertion is due to Ken Brown [Br87].

Now we assume $n > 1$. Here we use $M^{[r,s]} = \{f \in M_{\mathrm{dia}}(S) \mid r \leq h(f) \leq s\}, r, s \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $f \in M^{[r,s]}$ is a diagonal pei-injection, the height of f is a multiple of n. So we fix the lower bound $r = nk_0$, $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, and consider the filtration of $M := M^{[r,\infty]}$ in terms of $M^k := M^{[r,nk]}$, with $k \to \infty$. Then we follow the argument of Brown [Br87].

- First we note that M is a directed partially ordered set and hence $|M|$ is contractible.
- $|M^{k+1}|$ is obtained from $|M^k|$ by adjoining cones over the subcomplexes $|M_{<f}|$ for each f with $h(f) = k + 1$. By Lemma 3.7 and Remark 3.10 we know, that the subcomplexes $|M_{<f}|$ have the homotopy type of a bouquet of $\big(h(S) - 1\big)$-spheres for k sufficiently large. This shows that the embedding $|M^k| \subseteq |M^{k+1}|$ is homotopically trivial in all dimensions $< h(S)$.
- By Corollary 3.12 we know that the $|M^k|$ have cocompact skeleta.
- The stabilizers, $\mathrm{stab}_{G(S)}(f)$, of the vertices $f \in M$ - in fact of all simplices - are of the form $G(S - Sf)$. As $\mathrm{rk}(S - Sf) < \mathrm{rk}S$ the inductive hypothesis applies. The assumption that M contains only injections f with $h(f) \geq r$ implies now, that $fl\big(\mathrm{stab}_{G(S)}(f)\big) \geq r - 1$ for each $f \in M$.

We can choose r arbitrarily; if we choose $r \geq h(S) + 1$ the main results of [Br87] apply and it follows that $fl\big(G_{\mathrm{dia}}(S)\big) = h(S) - 1$. This completes the inductive step.

In order to prove that $fl\big(G(S)\big) \geq fl\big(G_{\mathrm{dia}}(S)\big)$ we note that $fl\big(G(S)\big) = fl\big(G_{\mathrm{tr}}(S)\big)$, since $G_{\mathrm{tr}}(S)$ is of finite index in $G(S)$. Then we observe that $G_{\mathrm{dia}}(S)$ is a normal subgroup of $G_{\mathrm{tr}}(S)$ with $Q = G_{\mathrm{tr}}(S)/G_{\mathrm{dia}}(S)$ finitely generated Abelian. As $fl(Q) = \infty$ this implies $fl\big(G_{\mathrm{tr}}(S)\big) \geq fl\big(G_{\mathrm{dia}}(S)\big)$. □

4 A lower bound for the finiteness length of pet(S) for a stack of orthants

In this section we will show

Theorem 4.1 *If S is a stack of orthants then $fl\big(\mathrm{pet}(S)\big) \geq h(S) - 1$.*

The steps to prove this lower bound of $fl\big(\mathrm{pet}(S)\big)$ are similar to those in section 3 for the corresponding pei-result. We will use a certain poset of injective pet-maps $f : S \to S$ to form a simplicial complex, and we will choose a diagonal subgroup of $\mathrm{pet}(S)$ for the action on the complex. However, the part concerning the finiteness length of the stabilizers of f is more difficult here, because the set $(S - Sf)$ is generally not pet-isomorphic to a stack of orthants with lower rank (there are different parallelism classes of rank-$(n-1)$ germs in S if $\mathrm{rk}S = n$). So even if the stabilizers are isomorphic to $\mathrm{pet}(S - Sf)$, there is no base for an induction argument.

In order to set up an inductive proof we need a version of Theorem 4.1, which makes the assertion not only for stacks of orthants but also for stacks S of paralell copies of a "rank-n-skeleton" of an orthant. In combination with special injective pet-maps f (the "super-diagonal" maps), such a stack S leads to a set $(S - Sf)$, which has the structure of a stack of rank-$(n-1)$-skeletons.

4.1 Stack of skeletons of an orthant. Let X be the canonical basis of the standard orthant $\mathbb{N}^N$. Every orthant L is of the form $a + \oplus_{y \in Y} \mathbb{N}y$, where Y is a subset of X. L carries the structure of a simplex whose faces, indexed by the subsets $Z \subseteq Y$, are the suborthants $L_Z = a + \oplus_{z \in Z} \mathbb{N}z \subseteq L$. We refer to L_Z as a *rank-k face* of L if $|Z| = k$. By the *rank-k skeleton* of L, denoted $L^{(k)}$, we mean the union of all rank-k-faces of L. Thus the skeleta of L form an ascending chain of orthohedral set

$$\{a\} = L^{(0)} \subseteq L^{(1)} \subseteq \ldots \subseteq L^{(k)} \subseteq \ldots \subseteq L^{(\mathrm{rk}L)} = L.$$

Let $L^{(n)}$ be the rank-n skeleton of a rank-r orthant $L = a + \bigoplus_{y \in Y} \mathbb{N}y$. Then $L^{(n)}$ is the union of $h(L^{(n)}) = \binom{r}{n}$ pairwise non-parallel rank-n orthants.

Now we consider a stack S of paralell copies of the rank-n-skeleton $L^{(n)}$ of an rank-r orthant - in other words, $S = R^{(n)}$ is the rank-n-skeleton of a stack R of rank-r orthants. We call each copy of $L^{(n)}$ in such a stack S a *component of* S, and we write $c(S)$ for the number of components of S. Note that $h(S) = c(S)\binom{r}{n}$. The next proposition shows a lower bound for $fl\big(\mathrm{pet}(S)\big)$, and the case $n = r$ yields the assertion of Theorem 5.1.

Proposition 4.2 *If S is a stack of rank-n skeletons of an orthant then $fl\big(\mathrm{pet}(S)\big) \geq c(S) - 1$.*

For later purpose in this section we consider the subset $\mathring{S} \subseteq S$ of all regular points of S, which is defined as follows: If S is an orthant, then $\mathring{S}$ is the image $t_S(S)$ of S under the diagonal unit-translation; and if S is a stack of rank-n skeletons of an orthant, a point $p \in S$ is regular if S contains a maximal suborthant of rank equal to S, which contains p as a regular point. The complement, denoted $\mathrm{sing}(S) = S - \mathring{S}$, is the set of all *singular points* of S. In the case when S is a stack of orthants, we will also use the geometrically more suggestive notation ∂S for $\mathrm{sing}(S)$. If $S = R^{(n)}$ is the n-skeleton of a stack of rank-r orthants L, then $\mathrm{sing}(S) = R^{(n-1)}$ and $\mathring{S}$ has the canonical decomposition as the disjoint union of the regular points of the maximal orthants of S. By a *component* of $\mathring{S}$ we mean $C \cap \mathring{S}$, the intersection of $\mathring{S}$ with a component C of S. Note that $c(S) = c(\mathring{S})$.

Lemma 4.3 *For the sets S and $\mathring{S}$ the following holds*

i) S and $\mathring{S}$ are pet-isomorphic. Hence $\mathrm{pet}(S)$ *is isomorphic to* $\mathrm{pet}(\mathring{S})$.

ii) $h\big(sing(\mathring{S})\big) = h\big(sing(S)\big)(r - n + 1)$, where r is the rank of the stack R with $S = R^{(n)}$.

Proof: i) S is the disjoint union of $\mathring{S}$ and $(S - \mathring{S})$. As each maximal orthant of $(S - \mathring{S})$ is parallel to a subortant of $\mathring{S}$, the assertion follows from the pet-normal form.
ii) Since $\mathring{S} = R^{(n)} - R^{(n-1)}$, $\mathrm{sing}(\mathring{S})$ is the disjoint union of $h(R^{(n)}) \cdot n$ rank-$(n-1)$ orthants. So $h(\mathrm{sing}(\mathring{S})) = h(R^{(n)})n$. For the height of S and $\mathrm{sing}(S)$ we have $h(S) = h(R^{(n)}) = c(S)\binom{r}{n}$ and $h(\mathrm{sing}(S)) = h(R^{(n-1)}) = c(S)\binom{r}{n-1}$. As $\binom{r}{n}n = \binom{r}{n-1}(r-n+1)$, we get $h(R^{(n)})n = h(R^{(n-1)})(r - n + 1)$. □

4.2 Reduction to the diagonal subgroup. From now on we assume that S is a stack of rank-n skeletons of an orthant. Since S and $\mathring{S}$ are pet-isomorphic, it suffices to show Proposition 4.2 for the set $\mathring{S}$, which is more suitable for some parts of the proof. As noted above $\mathring{S}$ is canonically in pet-normal form. In particular, every maximal germ of S (or $\mathring{S}$) is represented by a unique maximal orthant of $\mathring{S}$. Thus we can conceptually simplify matters by replacing the set of all maximal germs, $\max\Gamma^*(S) = \max\Gamma^*(\mathring{S})$, by the set of the canonical representatives $\max\Omega^*(\mathring{S})$, the set of all maximal orthants of $\mathring{S}$.
Let $M_{\mathrm{dia}}(\mathring{S})$ denote the monoid of all diagonal pei-injections of $\mathring{S}$ introduced in Section 3.2. $M_{\mathrm{dia}}(\mathring{S})$ is a submonoid of $M_{\mathrm{tr}}(\mathring{S})$, the translation submonoid of $M(\mathring{S})$. Its elements f have the property that they induce, for each $L \in \max\Omega^*(\mathring{S})$, a diagonal translation $\tau_{(f,L)} : \langle L\rangle \to \langle L\rangle$. Now we consider the submonoid $M^{\mathrm{pet}}_{\mathrm{sdia}}(\mathring{S}) \subseteq M_{\mathrm{dia}}(\mathring{S})$ consisting of all diagonal pet-injections $f : \mathring{S} \to \mathring{S}$ which satisfy the additional *super-diagonality* condition:

(4.1) *When two maximal orthants $L, L' of \mathring{S}$ are contained in the same component of S, then the diagonal translations $\tau_{(f,L)}$ and $\tau_{(f,L')}$ have the same translation length.*

The restriction of the homomorphism (3.3) of Section 3.2 to $M^{\rm pet}_{\rm sdia}(\mathring{S})$ can thus be interpreted as a map

(4.2) $\quad \lambda : M^{\rm pet}_{\rm sdia}(\mathring{S}) \twoheadrightarrow \bigoplus_{C\in Comp(\mathring{S})} \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}^{c(S)}$,

which associates to each super-diagonal pet-injection f the translation length $\lambda(f,C)$ on each component C of $\mathring{S}$.

The group of all invertible elements of $M^{\rm pet}_{\rm sdia}(\mathring{S})$ is the *super-diagonal pet-group* $\mathrm{pet}_{\rm sdia}(\mathring{S})$.

Let be $\mathrm{pet}_{\rm tr}(\mathring{S})$ the group of all invertible elements of $M_{\rm tr}(\mathring{S})$. It is a subgroup of $\mathrm{pet}(\mathring{S})$, which has finite index in $\mathrm{pet}(\mathring{S})$. Analogous to (3.2) in Section 3.2 there is a homomorphism

(4.3) $\quad \kappa : \mathrm{pet}_{\rm tr}(\mathring{S}) \twoheadrightarrow \bigoplus_{L\in \max\Omega^*(\mathring{S})} Tran(\langle L\rangle)$, given by $\kappa(g) = \bigoplus_{L\in\max\Omega^*(\mathring{S})} \tau(g,L)$

which associates to each pet-injection $g \in \mathrm{pet}_{\rm tr}(\mathring{S})$ the translation length $\tau(g,L)$ on each maximal orthant L of $\max\Omega^*(\mathring{S})$. We observe that a permutation $g \in \mathrm{pet}_{\rm tr}(\mathring{S})$ is in $\mathrm{pet}_{\rm sdia}(\mathring{S})$, if and only if the translations $\tau_{(g,L)}$ are diagonal for each L and its translation length constant as L runs through the maximal orthants of a component C of $\mathring{S}$.
Given a component C of $\mathring{S}$, we consider the set $\Lambda(C) := \max\Omega^*(C)$ of all $h(C) = \binom{r}{n}$ rank-n orthants of C. For each orthant $L \in \Lambda(C)$, we write $Y(L)$ for its canonical basis. The translation $\tau_{(g,L)} : \langle L\rangle \to \langle L\rangle$ has the canonical decomposition into the direct sum of translations $\tau^y_{(g,L)}$ in the directions $y \in Y(L)$, and we write $l^y(g,L) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for the corresponding translation lengths.
Hence, for $g \in \mathrm{pet}(\mathring{S})$ to be super-diagonal means, that the numbers $l^y(g,L) \in \mathbb{Z}$ coincide for all pairs in $P(C) := \{(y,L) \mid y \in L \in \Lambda(C)\}$ - and this is so for all components C. Hence, associating to g the sequence $\big(l^y(g,L) - l^{y'}(g,L')\big)_{(i(C),C)}$, with $i(C)$ running through all pairs $\big((y,L),(y',L')\big) \in P(C)$, and C through the components of $\mathring{S}$, exhibits the super-diagonal pet-group $\mathrm{pet}_{\rm sdia}(\mathring{S})$ as the kernel of a homomorphism of $\mathrm{pet}_{\rm tr}(\mathring{S})$ into a finitely generated Abelian group. It is well known that in this situation $fl\big(\mathrm{pet}_{\rm tr}(\mathring{S})\big) \geq fl\big(\mathrm{pet}_{\rm sdia}(\mathring{S})\big)$. Since $\mathrm{pet}_{\rm tr}(\mathring{S})$ has finite index in $\mathrm{pet}(\mathring{S})$, we have $fl\big(\mathrm{pet}(\mathring{S})\big) = fl\big(\mathrm{pet}_{\rm tr}(\mathring{S})\big)$, hence

$$fl\big(\mathrm{pet}(\mathring{S})\big) \geq fl\big(\mathrm{pet}_{\rm sdia}(\mathring{S})\big).$$

The proof of Proposition 4.2 is thus reduced to a proof of $fl\big(\mathrm{pet}_{\rm sdia}(\mathring{S})\big) = c(S) - 1$. To show this, we follow the arguments in the proof of the corresponding pei-result: $fl\big(\mathrm{pei}_{\rm dia}(S)\big) = h(S) - 1$, where S was a stack of $h(S)$ orthants of rank n. In the present situation, where $\mathring{S}$ is the set of regular points of the n-skeleton of the stack R of $h(R)$ orthants, the components C of $\mathring{S}$ have to take over the role previously played by the orthants L of the stack S. Correspondingly we now have to work with the multiplicative submonoid $\mathrm{mon}(T) \subseteq M^{\rm pet}_{\rm sdia}(\mathring{S})$ freely generated by the set T of all

super-diagonal unit-translations $t_C : C \to C$ as C runs through the components of $\mathring{S}$, where each $t_C = \prod_{L\in\Lambda(C)} t_L$ is the composition of the diagonal unit-translations t_L defined in Section 3.2. As at the end of Section 3.2 we use the action of $\mathrm{mon}(T)$ by left multiplication to endow $M^{\mathrm{pet}}_{\mathrm{sdia}}(\mathring{S})$ with a partial ordering; and we observe that this partial ordering is directed.

4.3 Maximal elements $<$ f in $\mathbf{M^{pet}_{sdia}(\mathring{S})}$. To adapt notation to the one used in the corresponding pei-situation in Section 3, we write Λ for the set of all components C of $\mathring{S}$, and $\partial C := C - Ct_C$ for each component $C \in \Lambda$. Note that C is the disjoint union of $h(C) = \binom{r}{n}$ rank-n orthants – using the notation of Section 4.1 one for each n-element set $Z \subseteq Y$. Hence $h(\partial C) = n\binom{r}{n}$. We are still in the situation that all maximal orthants of $\mathring{S}$ have the same finite rank $n = rkS$. And given $f \in M^{\mathrm{pet}}_{\mathrm{sdia}}(\mathring{S})$ we write

$$M_{<f} = \{a \in M^{\mathrm{pet}}_{\mathrm{sdia}}(\mathring{S}) \mid a < f\},\ M_{\leq f} = \{a \in M^{\mathrm{pet}}_{\mathrm{sdia}}(\mathring{S}) \mid a \leq f\},$$

for the *"open resp. closed cones below f "*, aiming to understand the set of all maximal elements of $M_{<f}$.

Lemma 4.4 *Let b be a maximal element of $M_{<f}$. Then there is a unique component C of $\mathring{S}$ with the property that $f = t_C b$, and $h(f) = h(b)+n$. Furthermore, b is given as the union $b = b' \cup b''$, where b': $\partial C \to (\mathring{S} - \mathring{S}f)$ is a pet-injection, and $b'' : (\mathring{S} - \partial C) \to \mathring{S}f$ is the restriction $t_C^{-1} f|_{(\mathring{S}-\partial C)}$. Converseley, if $c' : \partial C \to (\mathring{S} - \mathring{S}f)$ is an arbitrary pet-injection distinct to b', then the union $c = c' \cup b''$ is a maximal element of $M_{<f}$ distinct to b.*

Proof. See argument in Lemma 3.4. □

Lemma 4.5 *Let $B \subseteq M_{<f}$ be a finite set of maximal elements of $M_{<f}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

i) The elements of B have a common lower bound δ in $M_{<f}$.

ii) For every pair $(b, b') \in B \times B$, with $b \neq b'$ and $tb = f = t'b'$ for super-diagonal unit-translations t, t', we have

a) $t \neq t'$, and

b) $b(\partial C) \cap b'(\partial C') = \emptyset$, where C resp. C' are the components of $\mathring{S}$ on which t resp. t' acts non-trivially.

Proof. For each $b \in B$ we have a super-diagonal unit-translation $t_b \in T$ with $t_b b = f$, and we put

(4.4) $\quad t_B := \prod_{b\in B} t_b.$

By assumption (a) the components C_b, on which t_b acts non-trivially, are pairwise disjoint. Thus $|B| \leq c(S)$, and S decomposes in the disjoint union $S = \big(\bigcup_{b\in B} C_b\big) \cup S'$. We define the pet-injection $\delta_B : S \to S$ as follows:

$$\delta_B := \begin{cases} {t_b}^{-1} f & \text{on each } C_b t_b \\ b & \text{on the complements } \partial C_b = C_b - C_b t_b \\ f & \text{on } S'. \end{cases}$$

To show that δ_B is a common lower bound, see arguments in Lemma 3.5. □

Lemma 4.6 *In the situation of Lemma 4.5 we have for the lower bound δ_B defined in the proof:*

i) δ_B is, in fact, a largest common lower bound of the elements of B

ii) $h(\delta_B) \geq h(f) - h(S)n$.

Proof. For (i) see argument in Lemma 3.6. For (ii) we use the translation $t = \Pi_{b\in B} t_b$ of (4.4) which satisfies $t\delta_B = f$ and reads:

$$\begin{aligned} h(\delta_B) = h(f) - h(t) &= h(f) - |B| \cdot h(t_C) \\ &= h(f) - |B| \cdot h(C)n \\ &\geq h(f) - c(S)h(C)n = h(f) - h(S)n. \end{aligned}$$

□

4.4 The simplicial complex of $\mathbf{M^{pet}_{sdia}(\mathring{S})}$. We consider the simplicial complex $|M^{\mathrm{pet}}_{\mathrm{sdia}}(\mathring{S})|$, whose vertices are the elements of $M^{\mathrm{pet}}_{\mathrm{sdia}}(\mathring{S})$ and whose chains of length $k, a_0 < a_1 < \ldots < a_k$, are the k-simplices. As the partial ordering on $M^{\mathrm{pet}}_{\mathrm{sdia}}(\mathring{S})$ is directed, $|M^{\mathrm{pet}}_{\mathrm{sdia}}(\mathring{S})|$ is contractible.

In this section we aim to prove

Lemma 4.7 *If $h(f) \geq 2 \cdot \mathrm{rk}S \cdot h(S)$ then $|M_{<f}|$ has the homotopy type of a bouquet of $\big(c(S) - 1\big)$-spheres.*

The first step towards proving Lemma 4.7 is to consider the covering of $|M_{<f}|$ by the subcomplexes $|M_{\leq b}|$, where b runs through the maximal elements of $M_{<f}$. We write $N(f)$ for the nerve of this covering. Lemma 4.6(i) asserts that all finite intersections of such subcomplexes $|M_{\leq b}|$ are again cones and hence contractible. It is a well known fact that in this situation the space is homotopy equivalent to the nerve of the covering. Hence we have

$$|M_{<f}| \text{ is homotopy equivalent to the nerve } N(f),$$

and it remains to compute the homotopy type of $N(f)$.

The next step - replacing the nerve $N(f)$ by the combinatorial complex $\Sigma(f)$ - follows the arguments in Section 3: We find that the set of vertices of $\Sigma(f)$ is the disjoint union $A = \bigcup_{C\in\Lambda} A_C$, where A_C stands for the set of all pet-injections $a : \partial C \to (\mathring{S} - \mathring{S}f)$; and the p-simplices of $\Sigma(f)$ are the sequences $(a_C)_{C\in\Lambda'}$, where Λ' is a p-element subset of Λ whose entries $a_C \in A_C$ satisfy the condition

(4.5) *the intersections of the images* $a_C(\partial C), C \in \Lambda'$, *are pairwise disjoint.*

The homotopy type of $\Sigma(f)$ can again be computed by Lemma 3.8., which we apply to the 1-skeleton $\Gamma(f)$ of $\Sigma(f)$, viewed as a $c(S)$-colored graph $\Gamma(f)_{c(S)}$. At the end it remains to prove

Lemma 4.8 *If* $h(f) \geq 2 \cdot \mathrm{rk}S \cdot h(S)$ *then* $\Gamma(f)_{c(S)}$ *satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 3.8.*

Proof. Let $n := \mathrm{rk}S$ and $h := c(S)$. Assumption (1) is a consequence of assumption (2) except in the trivial case $h = 1$.

To prove (2) we fix $C \in \Lambda$ and consider a set of $2(h-1)$ elements $F \subseteq A - A_C$. We have to show that there are two elements $a, b \in A_C$ with the property that for each $d \in F, d : \partial C_d \to (\mathring{S} - \mathring{S}f), im(d) = d(\partial C_d)$ is disjoint to both $a(\partial C)$ and $b(\partial C)$. In other words: there are two pet-injections

(4.6) $a, b : \partial C \to (\mathring{S} - \mathring{S}f) - \big(\bigcup_{d\in F} im(d)\big)$.

For this it suffices to compare the height function - i.e., the number of rank-$(n-1)$ germs - of domain and target. Clearly, $h\big(a(\partial C)\big) = h(\partial C) = nh(C)$, and the same applies to every vertex of A. Hence $h\big(\bigcup_{d\in F} im(d)\big) \leq 2(h-1)nh(C)$. By assumption $h(\mathring{S} - \mathring{S}f) \geq 2nh(S)$, and so the target orthohedral set has height at least $2nh(S) - 2(h-1)nh(C) = 2nh(C)$, which is more than at least twice the height $h(\partial C) = nh(C)$ of the domain when $h(C)$ is positive. Moreover, by Lemma 4.10 below, the set $(\mathring{S} - \mathring{S}f)$ is pet-isomorphic to a stack of copies of ∂C. In this situation one observes that the two different pet-injections required in (4.6) certainly do exist. This proves the Lemma 4.8 and hence Lemma 4.7. □

Remark 4.9 By the same argument as in Remark 3.10, the assertion of Lemma 4.7 holds true if $M_{<f}$ is replaced with the subset $M_{r,f} := \{a \in M^{\mathrm{pet}}_{\mathrm{sdia}}(\mathring{S}) \mid h(a) \geq r \text{ and } a < f\}$ and f satisfies the additional condition $h(f) \geq r + h(S)$.

4.5 Stabilizers and cocompact skeletons of $|\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{pet}}_{\mathbf{sdia}}(\mathring{\mathbf{S}})|$. Here we consider the monoid $M^{\mathrm{pet}}(S)$ of all pet-injections endowed with the height function $h : M^{\mathrm{pet}}(S) \to \mathbb{Z}$ inherited from $M(S)$ and the $\mathrm{pet}(S)$-action induced by right multiplication. Our main interest, however, is the super-diagonal submonoid $M^{\mathrm{pet}}_{\mathrm{sdia}}(\mathring{S}) \subseteq M^{\mathrm{pet}}(S)$ acted on by the super-diagonal pet-group $\mathrm{pet}_{\mathrm{sdia}}(\mathring{S})$.

Lemma 4.10 *i) $f, f' \in M^{\mathrm{pet}}(S)$ are in the same $\mathrm{pet}(S)$-orbit if and only if $(S-Sf)$ and $(S-Sf')$ are pet-isomorphic.*

ii) Let S be a stack of copies of $L^{(n)}$, where L is a rank-r orthant. If $f \in M^{\mathrm{pet}}_{\mathrm{sdia}}(\mathring{S})$ with $h(f) > 0$, then $h(f)$ is a multiple of $(r-n+1)\binom{r}{n-1}$ and $(\mathring{S}-\mathring{S}f)$ is pet-isomorphic to a stack of $h(f)/\binom{r}{n-1}$ copies of $L^{(n-1)}$.

iii) Two elements $f, f' \in M^{\mathrm{pet}}_{\mathrm{sdia}}(\mathring{S})$ with $h(f) = h(f') > 0$ are in the same $\mathrm{pet}_{\mathrm{sdia}}(\mathring{S})$-orbit.

Proof. The proof of i) is analogous to the pei-version in Section 3.
ii) The key here is a pet-version of Lemma 3.2i). The set of germs $\Gamma^{n-1}(\mathring{S})$ decomposes into its parallelism classes, and as these are $\mathrm{pet}(\mathring{S})$-invariant, the height function $h : M^{\mathrm{pet}}_{\mathrm{sdia}}(\mathring{S}) \to \mathbb{Z}$ can be written as the sum of functions $h_Y : M^{\mathrm{pet}}_{\mathrm{sdia}}(\mathring{S}) \to \mathbb{Z}$, with Y running through all $(n-1)$-element subsets of the canonical basis of L, that count the number of germs in $\Gamma^{n-1}(\mathring{S}-\mathring{S}f)$ parallel to $\langle Y\rangle$.

We need the h_Y-version of Lemma 3.2i), asserting that we have for all rank-$(n-1)$ faces Y of L

(4.7) $h_Y(f) = h_Y\big(A \cap (Af)^c\big) - h_Y(A^c \cap Af)$, *for every orthohedral subset $A \subseteq S$ with* $\mathrm{rk}A^c < n$.

The proof is a straightforward adaptation of the one in Section 3.1 and can be left to the reader.

We can refine (4.7) by exhibiting A as the disjoint union of rank-n orthants K_i on which f acts by (super-diagonal) translations. Since f is super-diagonal, the corresponding translation lenghts $\lambda_{C(i)}$ depend only on the component $C(i)$ of $\mathring{S}$ containing K_i. One observes that $f(K_i)$ is contained in the uniquely defined maximal orthant of $\mathring{S}$ containing K_i. This has the consequence that for $i \neq j, K_i \cap (K_j f)^c = K_i$ and $K_i^c \cap K_j f = K_j f$, from which one finds

$$\begin{aligned} h_Y(f) = \;& h_Y\big(\textstyle\bigcup_i (K_i \cap (K_i f)^c\big) - h_Y\big(\bigcup_i (K_i^c \cap K_i f)\big) \\ = \;& \textstyle\sum_i h_Y(K_i \cap (K_i f)^c - h_Y(K_i^c \cap K_i f) = \sum_i \lambda_{C(i)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Clearly, for each component C of S, $sing(C)$ contains exactly one orthant parallel to $\langle Y\rangle$. By Lemma 4.3ii) this orthant is parallel to a face of exactly $(r-n+1)$ maximal orthants K_i in C, and each of them gives rise to a summand $\lambda_{C(i)}$. Hence summation over all K_i contained in a single component C of S yields $\lambda_C(r-n+1)$. And summation over all I, finally,

$h_Y(f) = \lambda(r-n+1)$, where λ is the sum of λ_C, with C running through all components of S.

This shows, in particular, that $h_Y(f)$ is intependent of Y. As we are assuming that $h(f) > 0$ it follows that $\lambda > 0$ and $h(f) = \lambda \cdot (r-n+1) \cdot \binom{r}{n-1}$.

It follows that $(\mathring{S} - \mathring{S}f)$ is pet-isomorphic to disjoint union $S' \cup S''$, where S' is a stack of $h_Y(f) = \lambda(r - n + 1)$ copies of $L^{(n-1)}$ and S'' a subset of rank $< n - 1$. As $\lambda > 0$, S' contains at least one copy of $L^{(n-1)}$. In this situation S' contains orthants parallel to any given maximal orthant of S''. In view of the the pet-normal form of $S' \cup S''$ it follows that $S' \cup S''$ is pet-isomorphic to S', i.e., to a stack of $\lambda(r - n + 1)$ copies of $L^{(n-1)}$.

iii) Part ii) shows that $h(f) = h(f') > 0$ implies that $\mathring{S} - \mathring{S}f$ and $\mathring{S} - \mathring{S}f'$ are pet-isomorphic. Hence, by assertion i), there is a pet-permutation $g \in \mathrm{pet}(\mathring{S})$ with $f' = fg$. The assumption that f and f' are in $M^{\mathrm{pet}}_{\mathrm{sdia}}(\mathring{S})$ implies that $g \in \mathrm{pet}_{\mathrm{sdia}}(\mathring{S})$. □

Corollary 4.11 *i) The stabilizer of $f \in M^{\mathrm{pet}}_{\mathrm{sdia}}(\mathring{S})$ in $\mathrm{pet}_{sdia}(\mathring{S})$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{pet}(\mathring{S} - \mathring{S}f)$*

ii) For every number $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the simplicial complex of $M^{[r,s]} := \{f \in M^{\mathrm{pet}}_{\mathrm{sdia}}(\mathring{S}) \mid r \leq h(f) \leq s\}$ is cocompact under the $\mathrm{pet}_{\mathrm{sdia}}(\mathring{S})$-action.

Proof. i) Same reasoning as the proof of Corollary 3.12.
ii) Lemma 4.10iii) asserts that $\mathrm{pet}_{\mathrm{sdia}}(S)$ acts cocompactly on the vertices of a given height in the simplicial complex $|M^{\mathrm{pet}}_{\mathrm{sdia}}(S)|$. Just as in the proof of Corollary 3.12ii) this yields the claimed assertion. □

4.6 The conclusion. It is an elementary observation that right action of $g \in \mathrm{pet}(S)$ on $M^{\mathrm{pet}}(S)$ fixes an element $f \in M^{\mathrm{pet}}(S)$ if and only if g restricted to $f(S)$ is the identity. In other words, the stabilizer of a vertex $f \in M^{\mathrm{pet}}(S)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{pet}(S - Sf)$. This will be crucial for the inductive step in the following inductive

Proof of Proposition 4.2. In Section 4.2 was already proved $fl\big(\mathrm{pet}(\mathring{S})\big) \geq fl\big(\mathrm{pet}_{\mathrm{sdia}}(\mathring{S})\big)$, so it suffices to show $fl\big(\mathrm{pet}_{\mathrm{sdia}}(\mathring{S})\big) \geq c(S) - 1$. We will argue by induction on $n = \mathrm{rk}S$. If $n = 1$, then $h(S) = c(S) \cdot r$, and the group $\mathrm{pet}_{\mathrm{sdia}}(\mathring{S})$ is the Houghton group on $h(S)$ rays. By Brown [Br87] this implies that $fl\big(\mathrm{pet}_{\mathrm{sdia}}(\mathring{S})\big) \geq h(S) - 1 \geq c(S) - 1$. This establishes the case $n = 1$ of the induction.

Now we assume $n > 1$. By induction we can assume that $fl\big(\mathrm{pet}(S')\big) \geq c(S') - 1$ holds for every stack S' of copies of a rank-$(n-1)$ skeleton of an orthant L. To prove the inductive step we start with restricting attention to the subgroup $\mathrm{pet}_{\mathrm{sdia}}(\mathring{S})$ acting on the super-diagonal monoid $M^{[u,v]} = \{f \in M^{\mathrm{pet}}_{\mathrm{sdia}}(\mathring{S}) \mid u \leq h(f) \leq v\}, u, v \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 4.10ii) the $h(f)$ is a multiple of $s := (r - n + 1)\binom{r}{n-1}$. So we fix a lower bound $u = sk_0, k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, and consider the filtration of $M := M^{[u,\infty]}$ in terms of $M^k =: M^{[u,sk]}$, with $k \to \infty$. Then we argue as follows

- First we note that M is a directed partially ordered set and hence $|M|$ is contractible.

- $|M^{k+1}|$ is obtained from $|M^k|$ by adjoining cones over the subcomplexes $|M_{<f}|$ for each f with $h(f) = k+1$. By Lemma 4.7 and Remark 4.9 we know, that the subcomplexes $|M_{<f}|$ have the homotopy type of a bouquet of $\big(c(S)-1\big)$-spheres for k sufficiently large. This shows that the embedding $|M_k| \subseteq |M_{k+1}|$ is homotopically trivial in all dimensions $< c(S)$.

- By Corollary 4.11 we know that each $|M^k|$ has cocompact skeleta.

- The stabilizer of the $f \in M$ under the action of $\mathrm{pet}_{\mathrm{sdia}}(\mathring{S})$ on M coincides with $\mathrm{pet}(\mathring{S} - \mathring{S}f)$ by Corollary 4.11i). Lemma 4.10ii) asserts that if $h(f) > 0$ then $(\mathring{S} - \mathring{S}f)$ is pet-isomorphic to a stack of copies of the rank-$(n-1)$ skeleton of an orthant. The stack height here is $c(\mathring{S} - \mathring{S}f) = h(f)/\binom{r}{n-1}$. We can choose u arbitrarily; if we choose $u = \big(c(S)+1\big)\binom{r}{n-1}$ the inductive hypothesis together with the assumption that $h(f) \geq u$ yields

  $$fl\big(\mathrm{pet}(\mathring{S} - \mathring{S}f)\big) \geq c(\mathring{S} - \mathring{S}f) - 1 = h(f) \Big/ \binom{r}{n-1} - 1 \geq c(S), \text{ for all } f \in M.$$

- The main results of [Br87] now establishs $fl\big(\mathrm{pet}_{\mathrm{sdia}}(\mathring{S})\big) \geq c(S) - 1$. This completes the inductive step. □

5 The upper bounds of $\mathbf{fl\big(pet(S)\big)}$ when $\mathbf{S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^N}$

5.1 More structure at infinity. Here we assume, for simplicity, that our orthohedral sets S are contained in $\mathbb{N}^N$. By Corollary 1.5 this is not a restriction for the pei-group $\mathrm{pei}(S)$, and it is a basic special case for the pet-group $\mathrm{pet}(S)$:

Given an element $x \in X$ (i.e. a coordinate axis), we write $\Gamma^1_x(S)$ for the set of all germs of rank-1 orthants of S parallel to $\mathbb{N}x$. We have a canonical embedding $\kappa : \Gamma^1_x(S) \to \mathbb{N}^{N-1}$ defined as follows: Each $\gamma \in \Gamma^1_x(S)$ is represented by a unique maximal orthant $L \in \Omega^1(S)$; we delete the x-coordinate of the base point of L and put $\kappa(\gamma)$ to the remaining coordinate vector. We write $\partial_x S$ for the image $\kappa\big(\Gamma^1_x(S)\big)$, and we will often identify $\Gamma^1_x(S)$ with $\partial_x S$ via κ. $\partial_x S$ can be viewed as the boundary of S *at infinity in direction* x.

Lemma 5.1 *For $\partial_x S$ the following hold.*

i) $\partial_x S$ is an orthohedral subset of $\mathbb{N}^{N-1}$.

ii) For very rank-$(k-1)$ orthant $L \subseteq \partial_x S$ there is a unique rank-k orthant $L' \subseteq S$, which is maximal with respect to the property that for each point of $p \in L$ $\kappa^{-1}(p)$ is represented by a suborthant of L.

Proof. Easy. □

5.2 Short exact sequences of pet-groups. From now on we assume that $S = \bigcup_j S_j \subseteq \mathbb{N}^m$ where m is minimal and S is in pet-normal form as defined after Proposition 1.5. Given $x \in X$ arbitrary we note that S is the disjoint union $S = S(x) \cup S^{\perp}(x)$, where $S(x)$ collects the stacks S_j which contain a rank-1 orthant parallel to $\mathbb{N}x$, and $S^{\perp}(x)$ the stacks S_j which are perpendicular to x. We note that $\partial_x S = \partial_x S(x)$, and we have an obvious projection $\pi_x : S(x) \twoheadrightarrow \partial_x S$. Moreover, there is a canonical injection $\sigma_x : \partial_x S \to S(x)$ which maps each germ $\gamma \in \partial_x S$ to the base point of the unique maximal rank-1 orthant representing γ, and is right-inverse to $\pi_x : S(x) \twoheadrightarrow \partial_x S$.

As the action of $\mathrm{pet}(S)$ on the $\Omega^1(S)$ preserves directions it induces, for each coordinate axis $x \in X$, an action on $\Gamma^1_x(S) = \partial_x S$, and one observes that this is an action by pet-permutations. This yields an induced homomorphism $\vartheta_x : \mathrm{pet}(S) \to \mathrm{pet}(\partial_x S)$. The kernel of ϑ_x is the set of all pet-permutations fixing all rank-1 germs parallel to x. And we note the following:

i) $\sigma_x : \partial_x S \to S(x)$ induces an embedding of $\mathrm{pet}(\partial_x S)$ as a subgroup of $\mathrm{pet}\big(S(x)\big)$, which splits the surjective homomorphism $\vartheta_x : \mathrm{pet}(S(x)) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{pet}(\partial_x S)$ induced by π_x.

ii) Every pet-permutation $g \in \mathrm{pet}\big(S(x)\big)$ extends to a pet-permutation of S by the identity on $S^{\perp}(x)$. This exhibits $\mathrm{pet}\big(S(x)\big)$ as a canonical subgroup of $\mathrm{pet}(S)$. Even though we do not have $\mathrm{pet}(S)$ acting on $S(x)$, we do have that the surjective homomorphism $\vartheta_x : \mathrm{pet}(S) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{pet}(\partial_x S)$ splits by the embedding $\mathrm{pet}(\partial_x S) \leq \mathrm{pet}\big(S(x)\big) \leq \mathrm{pet}(S)$.

Summarizing we have

Proposition 5.2 $\mathrm{pet}(\partial_x S)$ *is a retract both of* $\mathrm{pet}(S)$ *and of* $\mathrm{pet}\big(S(x)\big)$. *In other words, we have split exact sequences* $1 \to K \to \mathrm{pet}(S) \to \mathrm{pet}(\partial_x S) \to 1$ *and* $1 \to K^{\dagger} \to \mathrm{pet}\big(S(x)\big) \to \mathrm{pet}(\partial_x S) \to 1$. □

5.3 An upper bound of the finiteness length of pet(S). To deduce an upper bound for the finiteness lengths of the pet-groups we need the following elementary lemma which was overlooked in [BE75]; the first occurrence in the literature we are aware of is [Bu04].

Lemma 5.3 *Let G be a group. If a subgroup $H \leq G$ is a retract of G then $fl(H) \geq fl(G)$.*

Proof. The assertion $fl(G) \geq s$ is equivalent to saying that on the category of G-modules the homology functors $H_k(G; -)$ commute with direct products for all $k < s$. That this is inherited by retracts follows from the fact that $H_k(-; -)$ is a functor on the appropriate category of pairs (G, A), with G a group and A a G-module. □

If $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^N$ is an orthohedral set of rank $\mathrm{rk}S = n$ in pet-normal form, then $\Omega_0^*(\mathbb{N}^m)$ is canonically bijective to the set $P(X)$ of all subsets of X. Hence we can view the height function (1.1) of section 1.4 as map $h_S : P(X) \to \mathbb{N}_0$, and organize stacks of maximal orthants of S as follows: For every subset $Y \subseteq X$ we have the (possibly empty) stack $S(Y) \subseteq S$ of $h_S(Y)$ orthants parallel to the orthant $\langle Y \rangle$ defined by Y.
For each $(n-1)$-element subset $Y \subseteq X$ we consider the link $\mathrm{Lk}(Y)$ of Y in S_τ, by this we mean the set of all n-element sets $Y' \subseteq Y$ with the property, that $\langle Y' \rangle \subseteq S_\tau$, noting that $\langle Y' \rangle \in \max\Omega_0^*(S_\tau)$. Then we put $S(\mathrm{Lk}(Y)) \subseteq S$ to be the union of the stacks $S(Y')$ with Y' running through $\mathrm{Lk}(Y)$. The height, $h\big(S\big(\mathrm{Lk}(Y)\big)\big)$, is the sum of all stack-heights $h_S(\langle Y' \rangle)$ as Y' runs through $\mathrm{Lk}(Y)$.

Theorem 5.4 *If $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^m$ is orthohedral of rank n in pet-normal form, then each indicator $(n-1)$-subset $Y \subseteq X$ with non-empty link $\mathrm{Lk}(Y)$ imposes an upper bound $fl\big(\mathrm{pet}(S)\big) \leq h\big(S\big(\mathrm{Lk}(Y)\big)\big) - 1$.*

Proof. We choose any $y \in Y$ and consider the projection $\pi_y : S \twoheadrightarrow \partial_y S \cup \{\emptyset\}$, where the symbol $\{\emptyset\}$ is the image of $S - S(y)$. Proposition 5.2 asserts, that $\mathrm{pet}(\partial_y S)$ is a retract of $\mathrm{pet}(S)$. We have $\mathrm{rk}\partial_y S = \mathrm{rk}S - 1$; in fact, $\partial_y S$ is the disjoint union of stacks $S(Z)$, with Z running through all subsets of X avoiding y and satisfying $\langle Z \cup \{y\} \rangle \in \max\Omega_0^*(S_\tau)$. Thus note that $S(Z)$ is a stack of rank-$(n-1)$ orthants with unchanged stack height $h(S(Z)) = h_S(Z \cup \{y\})$.
We can choose the next element $y' \in Y - \{y\}$, consider the projection $\pi_{y'} : \partial_y S \twoheadrightarrow \partial_{y'}\partial_y S \cup \{\emptyset\}$. Upon putting $\pi_y(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ for all $y \in Y$, we can iterate the argument with all elements of $Y = \{y, \ldots, z\}$, noting that only the stacks in $S(\mathrm{Lk}(Y))$ survive all these projections. The composition

$$\pi_y = \pi_z \ldots \pi_y : S \twoheadrightarrow \partial_z \ldots \partial_y \cup \{\emptyset\}$$

projects the stacks of $S(\mathrm{Lk}(Y))$ onto stacks of rank-1 orthants with the original stack heights. This shows that $\mathrm{pet}(S)$ admits a retract isomorphic to the pet-group $\mathrm{pet}(S')$ of a disjoint union of $h(S(\mathrm{Lk}(Y))$ rank-1 orthants. But $\mathrm{pet}(S')$ contains Houghton's group on $h\big(S\big(\mathrm{Lk}(Y)\big)\big)$ copies of $\mathbb{N}$ as a subgroup of finite index. Hence Lemma 5.3 together with Ken Brown's result [Br87] yields $fl\big(\mathrm{pet}(S)\big) \leq fl\big(\mathrm{pet}(S')\big) = h\big(S(\mathrm{Lk}(Y)\big) - 1$, as asserted. □

5.4 Application to stacks of the n-skeleton of an orthant. Let S be a stack of $c(S)$ copies of the rank-n skeleton $K^{(n)}$ of a rank-r orthant K. The link of each cardinality-$(n-1)$ subset Y of the cardinality-r set X contains exactly $(r-n+1)$ cardinality-n subsets Y'. And S contains exactly $c(S)$ orthants parallel to $\langle Y' \rangle$. Hence the height of disjoint union of the stacks of S over the link $\mathrm{Lk}(Y)$ is $h(S(\mathrm{Lk}(Y)) = c(S)(r-n+1)$. Combining Proposition 4.2 with Theorem 5.4 thus yields

Theorem 5.5 *If S is the rank-n skeleton of a stack of rank-r orthants then*

$$c(S) - 1 \leq fl\big(\mathrm{pet}(S)\big) \leq c(S)(r - n + 1) - 1.$$

Corollary 5.6 *If S is a stack of orthants then $fl\big(\mathrm{pet}(S)\big) = c(S) - 1$.* □

Literaturverzeichnis

[ABM14] **Y. Atolin, J. Burillo, A. Martino.** *Conjugacy in Houghton's groups.* arXiv:1305.2044 (Preprint 2013).

[BB97] **M. Bestvina, N. Brady.** *Morse theory and finiteness properties of groups.* Inventiones Mathematicae, vol. 129 (1997), 445-470.

[BCMR14] **J. Burillo, S. Cleary, A Martino, C.E. Röver.** *Commensurations and metric properties of Houghton's groups.* arXiv:1403.0026 (Preprint 2014).

[BG84] **K.S. Brown, R. Geoghegan.** *An infinite dimensional $FP\infty$-group.* Invent. Math., 77 (1984), 367-381.

[BGe03] **R. Bieri, R. Geoghegan.** *Connectivity Properties of Group Actions on Non-Positively Curved Spaces.* Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society vol. 765 (Jan. 2003).

[BGr84] **R. Bieri, J.R.J. Groves.** *The geometry of the set of characters iduced by valuations.* Journal für die Reine und die Angewandte Mathematik vol. 347 (1984), 168-195.

[BNS86] **R. Bieri, W.D. Neumann and R. Strebel.** *A geometric invariant of discrete groups.* Invent. Math. 90 (1987), 451-477.

[BR88] **R. Bieri, B. Renz.** *Valuations on free resolutions and higher geometric invariants of groups.* Comm. Math. Helv. 63 (1988), 464-497.

[Bi75] **R. Bieri.** *Mayer-Vietoris sequences for HNN-groups and homological duality.* Math. Z. 143 (1975), 123-130.

[BCM14] **J Burillo, S Cleary, A Martino, C.E. Röver.** *Commensurations and metric properties of Houghton's groups.* arXiv:1403.0026, (Preprint 2014).

[BG84] **K.S. Brown, R. Geoghegan.** *An infinite dimensional FP_∞-group.* Invent. Math., 77 (1984), pp. 367-381.

[BMN13] **C. Bleak, F. Matucci, M. Neunhoeffner.** *Embeddings into Thompson's group V and coCF groups.* arXiv:1312.1855 (2013), (Preprint 2013).

[Br87] **K. S. Brown.** *Finiteness properties of groups.* Journal of Pure and Algebra 44 (1987), 45-75.

[BS73] **A. Borel, J.-P. Serre.** *Corners and arithmetic groups.* Comm. Math. Helv. 48 (1973),436-491.

[BS76] **A. Borel, J.-P. Serre.** *Cohomologie d' immeubles et de groupes S-arithmetiques.* Topology 15 (1976), 211-232.

[CV86] **M. Culler, K. Vogtmann.** *Moduli of graphs and automorphisms of free groups.* Invent. Math. 84 (1986), 91-119.

[FKS11] **L. Funar, C. Kapoudjian, V. Sergiescou.** *Asymptotically rigid mapping class groups and Thompson's groups.* Handbook of Teichmüller Theory, vol 3. Edited by A. Papadopoulos. (arXiv:1105.0559v1[math.GR] Preprint 2011).

[Ho78] **C. H. Houghton.** *The first cohomology of a group with permutation module coefficients.* Arch Math. 31 (1978), 254-258.

[Ka03] **C. Kopoudjian.** *From Symmetries of the Modular Tower of Genus Zero Real Stable Curves to a Euler Class for the Dyadic Circle.* Compositio Mathematica 13, 49-73 (2003).

[Lee12] **S. R. Lee.** *Geometry of Houghton's groups.* arXiv:1212.0257 (Preprint 2012).

[Leh08] **J. Lehnert.** *Gruppen von quasi-Automorphismen.* Goethe-Universitaet Frankfurt am Main 2008.

[LS07] **J. Lehnert, P. Schweitzer.** *The co-word problem for the Higman-Thompson group is solvable.* Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society 39(2), 235-241.

[NSt15] **B. Nucinkis, S. St. John-Green.** *Quasi automorphisms of the infinite rooted 2-edge coloured binary tree.* ArXiv: 1412.7715 (January 2015).

[R89] **B. Renz.** *Geometrische Invarianten und Endlichkeitseigenschaften von Gruppen.* Dissertation, Frankfurt am Main 1988.

[Sa92] **H. Sach.** *$(FP)_n$-Eigenschaften der verallgemeinerten Houghton-Gruppen.* Diplomarbeit 1992, Goethe Universitaet Frankfurt.

[St15] **S. St. John-Green.** *Centralizers in Houghton's groups.* Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society (2015), arXiv:1207.1597 (Preprint 2012)

[Za15] **M.C.B. Zaremsky.** *On the Σ-invariants of generalized Thompson groups and Houghton groups.* arXiv:1502.02620 (Preprint 2015).

Robert Bieri
Binghamton University and Goethe Universität Frankfurt
e-mail: bieri@math.uni-frankfurt.de , rbieri@math.binghamton.edu

Heike Sach
e-mail: heike_sach@yahoo.de